arXiv:1611.05811v2 [math.OA] 23 Dec 2016

INTERMEDIATE PLANAR ALGEBRA REVISITED

KESHAB CHANDRA BAKSHI

Abstract. In this paper, we explicitly work out the subfactor planar algebra $P^{(N\subset Q)}$ for an intermediate subfactor $N \subset Q \subset M$ of an irreducible subfactor $N \subset M$ of finite index. We do this in terms of the subfactor planar algebra $P^{(N\subset M)}$ by showing that if T is any planar tangle, the associated operator $Z_T^{(N\subset Q)}$ can be read off from $Z_T^{(N\subset M)}$ by a formula involving the so-called *biprojection* corresponding to the intermediate subfactor $N \subset Q \subset M$ and a scalar $\alpha(T)$ carefully chosen so as to ensure that the formula defining $Z_T^{(N\subset Q)}$ is multiplicative with respect to composition of tangles. Also, the planar algebra of $Q \subset M$ can be obtained by applying these results to $M \subset M_1$. We also apply our result to the example of a semi-direct product subgroup-subfactor.

1. Introduction

In this paper, we consider the situation in which an irreducible subfactor $N \subset M$ has an intermediate subfactor Q; and work out a reformulation of the proof of the fact that the planar algebra $P^{(N\subseteq Q)}$ may be derived from $P^{(N\subseteq M)}$ (see [BhaLa] and [La2]) by requiring that the action of a planar tangle T is given by Equation (1) below. It is well-known from earlier work of Bisch [Bi1] - and reformulated in [BiJo2] and [La1] in the planar algebraic terms that we will actually use here - that such intermediate subfactors are in bijective correspondence with so-called *biprojections* say $q \in P_2^{(N\subset M)}$. We wish here to describe (in Theorem 3.3) the planar algebra of $N \subset Q$ in terms of the planar algebra of $N \subset M$, while the planar algebra of $Q \subset M$ can be obtained by applying these results to $M \subset M_1$. The biprojection q corresponding to the intermediate subfactor Q gives rise naturally to a mapping $F = \{F_m\}$ from tangles of any colour (say m) to partially labelled tangles of the same colour (see Definition 2.4), and a scalar-valued function α defined on the collection of all tangles (see Definition 3.1), such that $P^{(N\subset Q)}$ may be identified with a planar algebra, call it P', with $P'_n = range(Z^{(N\subset M)}_{F(I_n^n)})$,where I_n^n is the identity tangle of colour n and the multilinear map $Z^{(N\subset Q)}$ associated to a tangle $T^{k_0}_{k_1,\cdots,k_b}$ is given by

$$Z_T^{(N\subset Q)} = \alpha(T) Z^{(N\subset M)}_{F(T)}, \tag{1}$$

where both sides are thought of as acting on $\otimes_{i=1}^b P'_{k_i}$. The slightly involved proof of the above assertion takes some work - see Theorem 3.4 and Theorem 3.3.

The difference in proofs here and in [BhaLa] stems from the two ways that a planar algebra P can be described, respectively, (i) as in [KodSun] (where one says what the underlying spaces P_n are, and explicitly describes the multilinear operator Z_T^P associated to a planar tangle T, and then verifying that these tangle maps satisfy the necessary compatibility conditions, as in Theorem 3.3), and (ii) by specifying a non-degenerate scalar-valued partition function Z on 0-tangles (labelled by $S = \coprod_{k\in\, Col} S_k$) which is invariant under planar isotopy and multiplicative on connected components (as in [Jo2]). Thus, one may say that a 'bonus' in our approach is that we know how any planar tangle acts on a vector in its domain.

With our formulation of intermediate planar algebra, as an application, in Section 4.2 we have recovered the result of [LaSu] which establishes an one-one correspondence between a planar subalgebra P^Θ of the 'group planar algebra' which is naturally associated with a group Θ of automorphisms of the given group G and the planar agebra corresponding to the 'subgroup-subfactor' associated with the inclusion $\Theta \subset (G \rtimes \Theta)$. It seems that there is a slight inaccuracy with the constant in the defining isomorphism β_k of [LaSu],while the corrected constant may be found in Definition 4.12.

In an appendix, we have described the tower of iterated basic construction of $N \subseteq Q$ in terms of the the corresponding tower of $N \subseteq M$. This is the crucial step in obtaining standard invariant of $N \subset Q$ in terms of $N \subset M$. The Jones' tower of $N \subset Q$ in terms of $N \subset M$ was described in [BhaLa]. Here we give another

proof using yet another characterization of the basic construction, in terms of Pimsner Popa bases. It should be mentioned that D. Bisch gave a partial description of standard invariant of $N \subset Q$ in [Bi2] giving the standard invariant of the inclusion $N \subset Q_1$, where Q_1 is the first step basic construction for $N \subset Q$.

After posting this paper on the arXiv, the author has been requested by D. Bisch to mention that there would be a section on intermediate planar algebra involving the same tangles as in Definition 2.4 in unpublished joint work with V. Jones, for which a preprint is forthcoming.

2. Notation and some basic facts

In this paper, all factors will be of type II_1, and all subfactors $N \subset M$ will be of finite index $[M : N]$. By tr_M we will mean the unique normal faithful trace defined on M. E_N^M will denote the trace preserving conditional expectation from M onto N; we shall often omit M and write E_N when doing so is unambiguous.

Following Bisch, we denote the 'Jones towers' built from the basic construction for $N \subset Q \subset M$ as:

$$N \subset Q \subset M \subset P_1 \subset M_1 \subset P_2 \subset M_2 \subset P_3 \subset \ldots \subset M_{2n+1} \ .$$

We write $e_{\epsilon,i}, \epsilon \in \{0,1\}, i \geq 1$ for the projections:

$$e_{0,i} : L^2(M_{i-1}) \to L^2(P_{i-1}) \qquad \text{and} \qquad e_{1,i} : L^2(M_{i-1}) \to L^2(M_{i-2})$$

so that $P_i = \langle M_{i-1}, e_{0,i} \rangle$ and $M_i = \langle M_{i-1}, e_{1,i} \rangle$ (here we set $M_0 = M$, $M_{-1} = N$, $P_0 = Q$).

The description of the algebras generated by $e_{0,i}$ and $e_{1,i}$ are given in [BiJo1]. We will use the following relations appearing in [BiJo1]. In what follows, as usual, $[a, b] = 0$ means that a and b commute, and $[a, B] = 0$ means that a commutes with all elements of the set B.

Fact 2.1. *The following relations hold:*

(1) $e_{0,i}e_{1,i} = e_{1,i}$,
(2) $[e_{a,i}, e_{b,j}] = 0$ *for* $|i - j| \geq 2$,
(3) $[e_{0,i}, e_{0,i\pm1}] = 0$,
(4) $[e_{0,i},\ P_{i-1}] = [e_{1,i},\ M_{i-2}] = 0$,
(5) *for* i *even,* $e_{0,i}e_{1,i\pm1}e_{0,i} = [Q : N]^{-1}e_{0,i}e_{0,i\pm1}$, *and* $e_{1,i}e_{0,i\pm1}e_{1,i} = [M : Q]^{-1}e_{1,i}$,
(6) *for* i *odd,* $e_{0,i}e_{1,i\pm1}e_{0,i} = [M : Q]^{-1}e_{0,i}e_{0,i\pm1}$, *and* $e_{1,i}e_{0,i\pm1}e_{1,i} = [Q : N]^{-1}e_{1,i}$,
(7) $e_{1,i}e_{1,i\pm1}e_{1,i} = [M : N]^{-1}e_{1,i}$.

Proof. For a proof look at [BiJo1] Proposition 5.1. □

V. Jones introduced his theory of planar algebras in [Jo2]. A summary of planar algebra terminology is given in [La1] and also a crash course on planar algebras is given in [KodSun]. We will mainly follow the notation for planar algebras from [KodSun](section 2). Thus, we write P_k for the k-box space $N' \cap M_{k-1}$, $\delta = [M : N]^{-1/2}$ and write Z_T for the multilinear operator corresponding to a planar tangle T. For each disc, one of its boundary arcs is distinguished and marked with a $*$ placed near it (whereas in [KodSun],$*$ was marked to a distinguished point). As is usual, we will normally draw the discs as boxes with their $*$ arcs unmarked and assumed to contain their north-west corner (and in exceptional cases when it has been necessary to use a '2-click rotation, as in the following figure, for instance, the $*$-interval will be explicitly marked); typically, when a 2-box has a q in it, the $*$-arc has to be in a white arc, and for biprojections, it is immaterial which white arc has the $*$, and we may omit indicating the $*$. Similarly, we shall sometimes omit drawing the external disc. (If from the context the shading is clear we will omit that also.) If $r \in P_2$ sometimes we also write  for 

.

It is well-known from [Bi1], [La1] and [BiJo2] that in case $N' \cap M = \mathbb{C}$, there is a bijective correspondence between biprojections q (corresponding to the Jones projection of $L^2(M)$ onto $L^2(Q)$) and the intermediate subfactor Q, where $N \subset Q \subset M$. More precisely, we have the following (reformulation of) Theorem 3.2 of [Bi1].

Theorem 2.2. [Bi1] [La1] [BiJo2] *Let $N \subset M$ be an extremal II_1 subfactor. Let $P^{(N\subset M)}$ be the planar algebra of $N \subset M$, and let $\Phi_{N\subset M}$ be the presenting map of $P^{(N\subset M)}$ on itself, i.e. $\Phi_{N\subset M} : \mathcal{P}(L) \to P^{(N\subset M)}$*

with $L = \coprod P_k^{(N\subset M)}$. *Suppose there exists an intermediate subfactor* Q, $N \subset Q \subset M$. *If we let* *denote the biprojection corresponding to* Q, *we have*

a)  *b)* 

c)  *d)* 

with $c = [M : N]^{1/2}[M : Q]^{-1}$. *Furthermore, in the case* $N' \cap M = \mathbb{C}$, *the converse is also true. Namely a 2-box* *satisfying a)-d) above implies the existence of an intermediate subfactor* Q, $N \subset Q \subset M$ *corresponding to* .

Corollary 2.3. *The following exchange relation holds:*



Denote by $N \subset Q \subset Q_1 \subset Q_2 \subset \dots$ the Jones tower of $N \subset Q$.

Definition 2.4. Denote the following tangle by E_n:



according as n is even or odd respectively. We shall use these to define a map $T \mapsto F(T)$ from the class of k-tangles to the class of *partially labelled* k-tangles with $(k+1)$ internal discs all but the last of which are 2-boxes labelled with a q, with the tangle T inserted in the last disc of colour k. Thus, $F(T) = E_k \circ_{(D_1,D_2,\cdots,D_k,D_{k+1})} (q, q, \cdots, q, T)$.

If it is clear from the context then we write E instead of E_n.

Define functions $F_n : P_n \mapsto P_n$ by $F_n(x) = Z_{E_n}(q \otimes q \otimes \cdots \otimes q \otimes x)$ for $x \in P_n$. We often write $F(x)$ instead of $F_n(x)$ if there is no confusion.

Following [BhaLa] define the natural inclusion map

$$i : F_n(P_n) \to F_{n+1}(P_{n+1})$$

given by

$$t \mapsto F_{n+1}(t).$$

We denote this inclusion by $\subset_i$. Our starting point is the following result from [BhaLa]:

Theorem 2.5. *The lattice of algebras:*

$$\begin{array}{ccccccccccc} F_0(P_0) & \subset_i & F_1(P_1) & \subset_i & F_2(P_2) & \subset_i & \dots & \subset_i & F_n(P_n) & \subset_i & \dots \\ & & \cup & & \cup & & & & \cup & & \\ & & F_1(P_{1,1}) & \subset_i & F_2(P_{1,2}) & \subset_i & \dots & \subset_i & F_n(P_{1,n}) & \subset_i & \dots \end{array}$$

is isomorphic to the standard invariant of $N \subset Q$:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccccccc} N' \cap N & \subset & N' \cap Q & \subset & N' \cap Q_1 & \subset & \dots & \subset & N' \cap Q_{n-1} & \subset & \dots \\ & & \cup & & \cup & & & & \cup & & \\ & & Q' \cap Q & \subset & Q' \cap Q_1 & \subset & \dots & \subset & Q' \cap Q_{n-1} & \subset & \dots \end{array}$$

The Jones projections are

$$F_{2n+1}(P_{2n+1}) \ni e^Q_{2n} = [M : Q]^{1/2}[Q : N]^{-1/2}$$



and

$$F_{2n+2}(P_{2n+2}) \ni e^Q_{2n+1} = [M : N]^{-1/2}$$



for $n \geq 1$. The trace on $F_{2n}(P_{2n})$ and $F_{2n+1}(P_{2n+1}))$ is given by $tr_{N\subset Q}(x) = [M : Q]^n tr_{N\subset M}(x)$.

3. The Intermediate Planar Algebra

This section is devoted to our reformulation of the proof of the fact that the planar algebra $P^{(N\subseteq Q)}$ may be derived from $P^{(N\subseteq M)}$ (see [BhaLa]) by requiring that the action of a planar tangle T is given by Equation (1) of the Introduction.

Definition 3.1. Let T be a k-tangle with $b \geq 1$ internal discs $D_1, \dots D_b$ of colours $k_1, \dots k_b$. Then define $\alpha(T) = [M : Q]^{\frac{1}{2}c(T)}$, where

$$c(T) = (\lceil k_0/2 \rceil + \lfloor k_1/2 \rfloor + \cdots + \lfloor k_b/2 \rfloor) - l(T)$$

with $l(T)$ being the number of closed loops after capping the black intervals of the external disc of T and cupping the black intervals of all internal discs of T.

Proposition 3.2. *If $T = T^{k_0}_{k_1,\cdots,k_b}$ and $\tilde{T} = \tilde{T}^{\tilde{k}_0}_{\tilde{k}_1,\cdots,\tilde{k}_{\tilde{b}}}$ are tangles with discs of indicated colours such that $\tilde{k}_0 = k_i$ for some $1 \leq i \leq b$, then*

$$\frac{\alpha(T)\alpha(\tilde{T})}{\alpha(T \circ_i \tilde{T})} = [M : Q]^{\frac{1}{2}(\tilde{k}_0 - l(T) - l(\tilde{T}) + l(T\circ_i\tilde{T}))}.$$

Proof. This is simple arithmetic:

$$\begin{aligned} c(T) &= (\lceil k_0/2 \rceil + \lfloor k_1/2 \rfloor + \cdots + \lfloor k_b/2 \rfloor) - l(T) \\ c(\tilde{T}) &= (\lceil \tilde{k}_0/2 \rceil + \lfloor \tilde{k}_1/2 \rfloor + \cdots + \lfloor \tilde{k}_{\tilde{b}}/2 \rfloor) - l(\tilde{T}) \\ c(T \circ_{D_i} \tilde{T}) &= (\lceil k_0/2 \rceil + \lfloor k_1/2 \rfloor + \cdots + \lfloor k_b/2 \rfloor) - \lfloor \tilde{k}_0/2 \rfloor + \lfloor \tilde{k}_1/2 \rfloor + \cdots + \lfloor \tilde{k}_{\tilde{b}}/2 \rfloor - l(T \circ_i \tilde{T}) \end{aligned}$$

Hence, after all the cancellation, we find that

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\alpha(T)\alpha(\tilde{T})}{\alpha(T \circ_i \tilde{T})} &= [M : Q]^{1/2\left(c(T)+c(\tilde{T})-c(T\circ_i\tilde{T})\right)} \\ &= [M : Q]^{1/2\left(\tilde{k}_0 - l(T) - l(\tilde{T}) + l(T\circ_i\tilde{T})\right)}, \end{aligned}$$

since $\lceil n/2 \rceil + \lfloor n/2 \rfloor = n$ for all integral n. □

We shall show that:

Theorem 3.3. *If $P'_k = ran(F(I_k^k))$ and $Z'_T = \alpha(T)Z_{F(T)|_{\otimes P'_{k_i(T)}}}$, then $(P', T \mapsto Z'_T|_{\otimes P'_{k_i(T)}})$ is a subfactor planar algebra which is isomorphic to $P^{(N\subset Q)}$.*

The proof of this theorem has two main ingredients: (a) the verification that P' is a planar algebra; and (b) the verification that this is isomorphic to the planar algebra of $N \subset Q$. The proof of (b) is an application of a theorem of Jones (see [KodSun] Theorem 2.1,which is the formulation that we shall use) while the only really non-trivial part of proving (a) is in the verification of compatibility of the partition function to gluing of tangles. In order to verify that the operation of tangles (in P') is compatible with composition of tangles, we will need to verify that

$$\alpha(T \circ \tilde{T})Z_{F(T\circ\tilde{T})} = \alpha(T)\alpha(\tilde{T})Z_{F(T)\circ F(\tilde{T})} \ ,$$

which, in view of Proposition 3.2, is seen to translate to:

$$Z_{F(T\circ F(\tilde{T}))} = [M:Q]^{-1/2(\tilde{k}_0 - l(T) - l(\tilde{T}_0) + l(T\circ\tilde{T})}Z_{F(T\circ\tilde{T})} \ , \tag{2}$$

which is what the next few pages are devoted to. We start on part (b) in the few lines after the proof of "compatibility under substitution".

Thus, we assume that P is an irreducible subfactor planar algebra and $q \in P_2$ be a biprojection. Let $T = T^{k_0}_{k_1,\cdots,k_b}$ and $\tilde{T} = \tilde{T}^{\tilde{k}_0}_{\tilde{k}_1,\cdots,\tilde{k}_{\tilde{b}}}$ be tangles with $k_i = \tilde{k}_0$. By $F(T)$ we will denote the partially labelled tangle obtained from T by 'surrounding it with q's'. It has the same number and colours of discs as T does. Let P'_k be the range of the tangle $F(I_k^k)$.

Theorem 3.4. *The equation*

$$Z_{F(T)\circ_i F(\tilde{T})} = \tau(q)^{\frac{1}{2}(k_i + l(T\circ_i\tilde{T}) - l(T) - l(\tilde{T}))}Z_{F(T\circ_i\tilde{T})},$$

holds for inputs coming from P'.

Here, for any tangle T, $l(T)$ is the number of loops obtained after black-cupping the internal discs of T and black-capping the external disc of T.

The proof of Theorem 3.4 proceeds by a series of reductions to easier and easier cases until the result is obvious. There are 4 main steps.

Step 1: Reduction to the case T is a 0_+-tangle : Let S be the 0_+-tangle in Figure 1 below and $\tilde{S} = \tilde{T}$. We claim that the truth of the equation for S and $\tilde{S}$ implies it for T and $\tilde{T}$. The new disc of S is the last numbered one.



FIGURE 1.

Observe that, by definition, $l(S) = l(T), l(\tilde{S}) = l(\tilde{T})$ and $l(S \circ_i \tilde{S}) = l(T \circ_i \tilde{T})$. To prove Theorem 3.4, it suffices to trace both sides against an arbitrary element $x \in P_{k_0}$ and verify that the results are the same.

Now $\delta^{k_0}\tau(Z_{F(T)\circ_i F(\tilde{T})}(\cdots)x) = Z_{F(S)\circ_i F(\tilde{S})}(\cdots, F(x))$ and

$\delta^{k_0}\tau(Z_{F(T\circ_i\tilde{T})}(\cdots)x) = Z_{F(S\circ_i\tilde{S})}(\cdots, F(x))$

Also, we are given that

$$Z_{F(S)\circ_i F(\tilde{S})} = \tau(q)^{\frac{1}{2}(k_i + l(S\circ_i\tilde{S}) - l(S) - l(\tilde{S}))}Z_{F(S\circ_i\tilde{S})},$$

holds when all inputs come from P'.

Thus the $\delta^{k_0}\tau(Z_{F(T)\circ_i F(\tilde{T})}(\cdots)x)$ above equals

$$\tau(q)^{\frac{1}{2}(k_i + l(S\circ_i\tilde{S}) - l(S) - l(\tilde{S}))}Z_{F(S\circ_i\tilde{S})}(\cdots, F(x)) =$$

$$\delta^{k_0}\tau(q)^{\frac{1}{2}(k_i+l(T\circ_i\tilde{T})-l(T)-l(\tilde{T}))}\tau(Z_{F(T\circ_i\tilde{T})}(\cdots)x).$$

The desired reduction follows. This reduction having been made, we will henceforth assume that T is a 0_+-tangle and therefore the equation that must be seen to hold on P' is:

$$Z_{T\circ_i F(\tilde{T})} = \tau(q)^{\frac{1}{2}(k_i+l(T\circ_i\tilde{T})-l(T)-l(\tilde{T}))}Z_{T\circ_i\tilde{T}}. \qquad (*)$$

(since $F(T) = T$ for a 0_+-tangle T).

Step 2: Reduction to the case T is of the form in Figure 2 where $\hat{T}$ is some k_i-tangle and $i = 1$: This follows



FIGURE 2.

from sphericality.

Step 3: Reduction to the case $\tilde{T}$ is Temperley-Lieb: This is handled in two different ways according as k_i is even or odd.

Subcase 3.1: Suppose that k_i is even. Let $U = U^{k_1}_{2k_1,k_1}$ and $\tilde{S} = \tilde{S}^{2k_1}$ be the following tangles in Figure 3, and $S = T \circ_1 (U \circ_2 \tilde{T})$. It is then clear that $S \circ_1 \tilde{S} = T \circ_1 \tilde{T}$.



FIGURE 3.

We claim that the validity of Equation (*) holding for the pair $(S, \tilde{S})$ implies its validity for the pair $(T, \tilde{T})$. To see this, assume that

$$Z_{S\circ_1 F(\tilde{S})} = \tau(q)^{\frac{1}{2}(2k_1+l(S\circ_1\tilde{S})-l(S)-l(\tilde{S}))}Z_{S\circ_1\tilde{S}}.$$

Now observe that $Z_{S\circ_1\tilde{S}} = Z_{T\circ_1\tilde{T}}$ and $Z_{S\circ_1 F(\tilde{S})} = Z_{T\circ_1 F(\tilde{T})}$ since k_1 is even and using that $q^2 = q$ several times. Also, note that $l(\tilde{S}) = k_1$ and $l(S) = l(\tilde{T}) + l(\hat{T}) = l(\tilde{T}) + l(T)$. Substituting all this in the previous equation and simplifying, we get the desired Equation (*).

Subcase 3.2: Suppose that k_1 is odd. Now let $U = U^{k_1}_{2(k_1+1),k_1}$ and $\tilde{S} = \tilde{S}^{2(k_1+1)}$ be the following tangles in Figure 4 and let $S = T \circ_1 (U \circ_2 \tilde{T})$. It is then clear that $S \circ_1 \tilde{S}$ differs from $T \circ_1 \tilde{T}$ in having one extra floating loop.

We again claim that the validity of Equation (*) holding for the pair $(S, \tilde{S})$ implies its validity for the pair $(T, \tilde{T})$. To see this, assume that

$$Z_{S\circ_1 F(\tilde{S})} = \tau(q)^{\frac{1}{2}(2(k_1+1)+l(S\circ_1\tilde{S})-l(S)-l(\tilde{S}))}Z_{S\circ_1\tilde{S}}.$$

Now observe that $Z_{S\circ_1\tilde{S}} = \delta Z_{T\circ_1\tilde{T}}$. Also note that $l(\tilde{S}) = k_1 + 1$, $l(S) = l(\tilde{T}) + l(\hat{T}) = l(\tilde{T}) + l(T)$, and $l(S \circ_1 \tilde{S}) = l(T \circ_1 \tilde{T}) + 1$.

To finish the proof, it suffices to see that $Z_{S\circ_1 F(\tilde{S})} = \delta\tau(q)Z_{T\circ_1 F(\tilde{T})}$. We will first do this in the case $k_1 = 5$. The tangle $S \circ_1 F(\tilde{S})$ is depicted in Figure 5. With a little bit of manipulation, this reduces to



FIGURE 4.



FIGURE 5.



FIGURE 6.

Figure 6.
Since the last picture is clearly $T \circ_1 F(\tilde{T})$, we're done. It should be clear that a similar proof works whenever k_1 is odd.

Step 4: Resolution of the case $\tilde{T}$ is Temperley-Lieb in three different subcases by induction on k_1. In each of the subcases, we will show that the statement for a suitably chosen S and $\tilde{S}$ with $k_0(\tilde{S}) < k_0(\tilde{T})$, implies it for T and $\tilde{T}$.
Subcase 4.1: Suppose that in $\tilde{T}$ some $2i-1$ and $2i$ are joined by a string so that $\tilde{T}$ has the form in Figure 7 for some Temperley-Lieb tangle $\tilde{S}$ of colour $k_1 - 1$. In this case, let S be the tangle in Figure 8.

Note that $T \circ_1 \tilde{T} = S \circ_1 \tilde{S}$. It follows that $l(T \circ_1 \tilde{T}) = l(S \circ_1 \tilde{S})$ and it is easy to see that $l(S) = l(T)$ $(= l(\hat{T}))$ and that $l(\tilde{S}) = l(\tilde{T}) - 1$. To show that the statement for the pair $S, \tilde{S}$ implies that for the pair



FIGURE 7.



FIGURE 8.

$T, \tilde{T}$, it therefore suffices now to see that $T \circ_1 F(\tilde{T}) = S \circ_1 F(\tilde{S})$. This follows easily from the fact that 'q capped on top can be replaced by the identity'.
Subcase 4.2: Suppose that in $\tilde{T}$ some $2i$ and $2i+1$ are joined by a string so that $\tilde{T}$ has the form in Figure 9



FIGURE 9.

for some Temperley-Lieb tangle $\tilde{S}$ of colour $k_1 - 1$. Note that $l(\tilde{S}) = l(\tilde{T})$. Here there are two further subcases.
Subcase 4.2(a): The black intervals $[2i-1, 2i]$ and $[2i+1, 2i+2]$ are part of distinct black regions in $\hat{T}$. In this case, let S be the tangle Figure 10



FIGURE 10.

Here too $T \circ_1 \tilde{T} = S \circ_1 \tilde{S}$ and it follows that $l(T \circ_1 \tilde{T}) = l(S \circ_1 \tilde{S})$. Recall that $l(\tilde{S}) = l(\tilde{T})$. The pictures for computing $l(T)$ and $l(S)$ are shown in Figure 11. (The picture for $l(T)$ is above the one for $l(S)$).



FIGURE 11.

Here $BC(\hat{T})$ is the tangle obtained by 'black cupping' the insides of all boxes of $\hat{T}$. We need to compare the number of loops in the top and bottom pictures. Observe that the black regions of $\hat{T}$ and that of $BC(\hat{T})$

are in natural bijective correspondence and therefore the black intervals $[2i-1, 2i]$ and $[2i+1, 2i+2]$ are part of distinct black regions in $BC(\hat{T})$. Thus the loops containing $2i-1$ (and $2i$) and $2i+1$ (and $2i+2$) are different in the first picture while these two loops are cut and spliced into a single loop in the second picture. It follows that $l(S) = l(T) - 1$.

Now suppose that we know that

$$Z_{S\circ_1 F(\tilde{S})} = \tau(q)^{\frac{1}{2}(k_1 - 1 + l(S\circ_1\tilde{S}) - l(S) - l(\tilde{S}))} Z_{S\circ_1\tilde{S}}.$$

It follows that

$$Z_{S\circ_1 F(\tilde{S})} = \tau(q)^{\frac{1}{2}(k_1 + l(T\circ_1\tilde{T}) - l(T) - l(\tilde{T}))} Z_{T\circ_1\tilde{T}},$$

and so to complete the proof it suffices to see that $Z_{S\circ_1 F(\tilde{S})} = Z_{T\circ_1 F(\tilde{T})}$.

To see this, first note that the 'antipode symmetry' of the q implies that $T \circ_1 F(\tilde{T}) = V \circ_1 F(\hat{T})$ where V is the tangle in Figure 12.



FIGURE 12.

Thus $T \circ_1 F(\tilde{T})$ is given by the picture on the left in Figure 13 which equals the one on the right using properties of q.



FIGURE 13.

The two middle q's in the picture on the right may be deleted using one application of Lemma 3.5 to $\hat{T}$ and then what is left is clearly $S \circ_1 F(\tilde{S})$.

Lemma 3.5. *Let T be a k-tangle and $[k] = \{1, 2, \cdots, k\}$ be regarded as the set of black external boundary arcs of T, enumerated, say, in clockwise direction starting from the one immediately next (counterclockwise) to the $*$ arc. Let $A \subseteq [k]$ be such that any black region of T intersects at most one element of A. Surround T with q's in all positions except those given by A and call this partially labelled tangle $F_A(T)$. Then $Z_{F_A(T)} = Z_{F(T)}$ on P'.*

Proof. Consider the external boundary of any black region of T that intersects an external boundary arc. Say it looks like something in Figure 14. Here the dark portions represent boundary arcs of discs of T while the light portions represent strings. Say the portions marked & are boundary arcs of the external disc of T while the rest are boundary arcs of various internal discs of T. By assumption, at most one of the portions marked & is in A.

Now in calculating $F(T)$, this black region looks as in Figure 15, where every 2-box has a q in it. The external portions have a q by definition of $F(T)$, while the internal portions have a q because we're only



FIGURE 14.



FIGURE 15.

interested in the values of the tangle when inputs come from P'. Now observe that in calculating $F_A(T)$, at most one of the q's is missing - which does not matter because of the exchange relation that q satisfies (see Corollary 2.3). □

Subcase 4.2(b): The black intervals $[2i-1, 2i]$ and $[2i+1, 2i+2]$ are part of the same black region in $\hat{T}$.

Draw a dotted line from the midpoint of the interval $[2i-1, 2i]$ to the midpoint of the interval $[2i+1, 2i+2]$ in $\hat{T}$ that lies entirely in the black region that these are both part of. This line does not intersect any string of $\hat{T}$ (by definition of a region) and so the part of $\hat{T}$ that lies inside this dotted line is a 1-box that joins the points $2i$ and $2i+1$. By irreducibility we may replace this one box by a scalar times a string and thus assume that in $\hat{T}$ too, the points $2i$ and $2i+1$ are joined together. Thus $\hat{T}$ is of the form in Figure 16



FIGURE 16.

for some tangle W of colour $k_1 - 1$. Set S to be the tangle in Figure 17.

Again we claim that the truth of the statement of S and $\tilde{S}$ implies that of the statement for T and $\tilde{T}$. So suppose that

$$Z_{S\circ_1 F(\tilde{S})} = \tau(q)^{\frac{1}{2}(k_1-1+l(S\circ_1\tilde{S})-l(S)-l(\tilde{S}))} Z_{S\circ_1\tilde{S}}.$$

Note that $T \circ_1 \tilde{T} = S \circ_1 \tilde{S}$ with one extra floating loop and therefore $Z_{T\circ_1\tilde{T}} = \delta Z_{S\circ_1\tilde{S}}$ and $l(T \circ_1 \tilde{T}) = l(S \circ_1 \tilde{S}) + 1$. Also $l(T) = l(\hat{T}) = l(W) = l(S)$ and we recall that $l(\tilde{S}) = l(\tilde{T})$.



FIGURE 17.



FIGURE 18.

It remains to compare $S \circ_1 F(\tilde{S})$ and $T \circ_1 F(\tilde{T})$. Observe that $T \circ_1 F(\tilde{T})$ equals the picture on the left in Figure 18 which equals $\delta\tau(q)$ times picture on the right using properties of q - which is clearly $S \circ_1 F(\tilde{S})$.

Therefore $Z_{T\circ_1 F(\tilde{T})} = \delta\tau(q) Z_{S\circ_1 F(\tilde{S})}$. It now follows that

$$Z_{T\circ_1 F(\tilde{T})} = \tau(q)^{\frac{1}{2}(k_1 + l(T\circ_1 \tilde{T}) - l(T) - l(\tilde{T}))} Z_{T\circ_1 \tilde{T}}.$$

This completes the proof of Theorem 3.4.

We proceed to verify that our prescription for the tangle action does indeed specify various compatibility requirements that must be satisfied in order to define a planar algebra.

(1) Compatibility with renumbering

Let, $\sigma \in \Sigma_b$. Consider the tangle $\sigma(T)$ which as a subset of $\mathbb{R}^2$ is the same as T except that its $\sigma(i)$-th disc is the i-th disc of T. We have to show the following diagram commutes:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} P'_{k_1} \otimes P'_{k_2} \otimes \cdots \otimes P'_{k_b} & \xrightarrow{U_\sigma} & P'_{k_{\sigma^{-1}(1)}} \otimes P'_{k_{\sigma^{-1}(2)}} \otimes \cdots \otimes P'_{k_{\sigma^{-1}(b)}} \\ \downarrow Z'_T & \swarrow Z'_{\sigma(T)} & \\ P'_{k_0} & & \end{array}$$

Where,

$$U_\sigma(x_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes x_b) = x_{\sigma^{-1}(1)} \otimes \cdots \otimes x_{\sigma^{-1}(b)}$$

for x_i belongs to $P'_i \subseteq P_i$. Now,

$$\begin{aligned} & Z'_{\sigma(T)} \circ U_\sigma(x_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes x_b) \\ & \quad = Z'_{\sigma(T)}(x_{\sigma^{-1}(1)} \otimes \cdots \otimes x_{\sigma^{-1}(b)}) \\ & \quad = \alpha(\sigma(T)) F_{k_0}(Z_{\sigma(T)}(x_{\sigma^{-1}(1)} \otimes \cdots \otimes x_{\sigma^{-1}(b)})) \\ & \quad = \alpha(T) F_{k_0}(Z_{\sigma(T)} \circ U_\sigma(x_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes x_b)) \text{ [since } \alpha(\sigma(T)) = \alpha(T)] \\ & \quad = \alpha(T) F_{k_0}(Z_T(x_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes x_b)) \text{ [renumbering axiom for Z]} \\ & \quad = Z'_T(x_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes x_b) \text{ [by definition]} \end{aligned}$$

(2) Non-degeneracy

We have to show, $Z'_{I_k^k} = id_{P_k{}'}$. Now for $x \in P'_k$,

$$\begin{aligned} & Z'_{I_k^k}(x) \\ &= \alpha(I_k^k)F_k(Z_{I_k^k}(x)) \text{ [by definition]} \\ &= \alpha(I_k^k)F_k(x) \text{ [non-degeneracy of Z]} \\ &= F_k(x) \text{ [since } \alpha(I_k^k) = 1] \\ &= x \end{aligned}$$

(3) Compatibility with respect to substitution

Let $T = T^{k_0}_{k_1,\cdots,k_b}$ and $\widetilde{T} = T^{\tilde{k}_0}_{\widetilde{k_1},\cdots,\widetilde{k}_{\tilde{b}}}$ with $\tilde{k_0} = k_i$ for some $i \in \{1,\cdots,b\}$. We need to check that the following diagram commutes:
When $\tilde{b} > 0$:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} (\otimes_{j=1}^{i-1}P'_{k_j}) \otimes (\otimes_{j=1}^{\tilde{b}}P'_{\widetilde{k}_j}) \otimes (\otimes_{j=i+1}^{b}P'_{k_j}) & & \\ \Big\downarrow{\scriptstyle (\otimes_{j=1}^{i-1}id_{P'_{k_j}})\otimes Z'_{\widetilde{T}}\otimes(\otimes_{j=i+1}^{b}id_{P'_{k_j}})} & \searrow^{Z'_{T\circ_i\widetilde{T}}} & \\ (\otimes_{j=1}^{b}P'_{k_j}) & \xrightarrow{Z'_T} & P'_{k_0} \end{array}$$

Let $(\otimes_{j=1}^{i-1}x_j) \otimes (\otimes_{j=1}^{\tilde{b}}\widetilde{x_j}) \otimes (\otimes_{j=i+1}^{b}x_j)$ belongs to $(\otimes_{j=1}^{i-1}P'_{k_j}) \otimes (\otimes_{j=1}^{\tilde{b}}P'_{\widetilde{k_j}}) \otimes (\otimes_{j=i+1}^{b}P'_{k_j})$ Then,

$$\begin{aligned} & Z'_T \circ (id \otimes Z'_{\widetilde{T}} \otimes id)[(\otimes_{j=1}^{i-1}x_j) \otimes (\otimes_{j=1}^{\tilde{b}}\widetilde{x_j}) \otimes (\otimes_{j=i+1}^{b}x_j)] \\ &= Z'_T[(\otimes_{j=1}^{i-1}x_j) \otimes Z'_{\widetilde{T}}(\otimes_{j=1}^{\tilde{b}}\widetilde{x_j}) \otimes (\otimes_{j=i+1}^{b}x_j)] \\ &= Z'_T[(\otimes_{j=1}^{i-1}x_j) \otimes \alpha(\widetilde{T})Z_{E\circ\widetilde{T}}((\otimes^{\widetilde{k_0}}q) \otimes (\otimes_{j=1}^{\tilde{b}}\widetilde{x_j})) \otimes (\otimes_{j=i+1}^{b}x_j)] \\ & \qquad\qquad \text{(by definition } of Z'_T) \\ &= \alpha(T)\alpha(\widetilde{T})Z_{E\circ T}[(\otimes^{k_0}q) \otimes (\otimes_{j=1}^{i-1}x_j) \otimes Z_{E\circ\widetilde{T}}((\otimes^{\widetilde{k_0}}q) \otimes (\otimes_{j=1}^{\tilde{b}}\widetilde{x_j})) \otimes (\otimes_{j=i+1}^{b}x_j)] \\ & \qquad\qquad \text{(by definition } of Z'_T) \\ &= \alpha(T)\alpha(\widetilde{T})Z_{E\circ(T\circ_i(E\circ\widetilde{T}))}[(\otimes^{k_0}q) \otimes (\otimes_{j=1}^{i-1}x_j) \otimes (\otimes^{\widetilde{k_0}}q) \otimes (\otimes_{j=1}^{\tilde{b}}\widetilde{x_j}) \otimes (\otimes_{j=i+1}^{b}x_j)] \\ & \qquad\qquad \text{(since } Z \text{ is associative)} \\ &= \alpha(T)\alpha(\widetilde{T})\frac{\alpha(T\circ_i\widetilde{T})}{\alpha(T)\alpha(\widetilde{T})}Z_{E\circ(T\circ_i\widetilde{T})}[(\otimes^{k_0}q) \otimes (\otimes_{j=1}^{i-1}x_j) \otimes (\otimes_{j=1}^{\tilde{b}}\widetilde{x_j}) \otimes (\otimes_{j=i+1}^{b}x_j)] \\ & \qquad\qquad \text{(by Theorem 3.4)} \\ &= Z'_{T\circ_i\widetilde{T}}[(\otimes_{j=1}^{i-1}x_j) \otimes (\otimes_{j=1}^{\tilde{b}}\widetilde{x_j}) \otimes (\otimes_{j=i+1}^{b}x_j)] \text{ (by definition).} \end{aligned}$$

When $\tilde{b} = 0$: We need to check the following diagram commutes:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} (\otimes_{j=1}^{i-1}P'_{k_j}) \otimes \mathbb{C} \otimes (\otimes_{j=i+1}^{b}P'_{k_j}) \otimes Z'_{\widetilde{T}} \otimes (\otimes_{j=i+1}^{b}id_{P'_{k_j}}) & \xrightarrow{\cong} & \otimes_{\substack{j=1,\\ j\neq i}}^{b}P'_{k_j} \\ \Big\downarrow{\scriptstyle (\otimes_{j=1}^{i-1}id_{P'_{k_j}})} & & \Big\downarrow{\scriptstyle Z'_{T\circ_i\widetilde{T}}} \\ \otimes_{j=1}^{b}P'_{k_j} & \xrightarrow{Z'_T} & P'_{k_0} \end{array}$$

The proof is as above.
Thus $T \mapsto Z'_T$ is compatible with substitution.

In conclusion, the collection $P' = \{P'_k : k \in Col\}$ of vector spaces, equipped with the assignment $T \mapsto Z'_T$ of multilinear maps is a planar algebra.

Proof. (of part (b) in the notation of the paragraph following the statement of Theorem 3.4.)

That $P'_k = (P^{(N\subseteq Q)})_k$ and consisteny under inclusions of two sides follows from definition. That (P', Z') has modulus $\sqrt{[Q:N]}$ follows from definition of α. We need, further, to show the following:

Fact 3.6. *(i)* $Z'_{\mathcal{E}^{2k+1}}(1) = \sqrt{[Q:N]}e^Q_{2k}$ *and (ii)* $Z'_{\mathcal{E}^{2k}}(1) = \sqrt{[Q:N]}e^Q_{2k-1}$.

See the figure 19. Left one is for case (ii) and right one is for case (i).
Justification of (i): $\alpha(\mathcal{E}^{2k+1}) = \sqrt{[M:Q]}$.



FIGURE 19. Jones Projection

By definition,

$$
\begin{aligned}
&Z'_{\mathcal{E}^{2k+1}}(1)\\
&\quad = \sqrt{[M:Q]}F_{2n+1}(Z^{N\subseteq M}_{\bar{\mathcal{E}}^{2k+1}}(1))\\
&\quad = \sqrt{[M:Q]}\\
&\quad = \sqrt{[Q:N]}e^Q_{2k} \text{ [by Theorem 2.5]}
\end{aligned}
$$



This justifies the fact(i).
Justification of (ii): $\alpha(\mathcal{E}^{2k}) = [M:Q]^{-\frac{1}{2}}$.
By definition,

$$
\begin{aligned}
&Z'_{\mathcal{E}^{2k}}(1)\\
&\quad = [M:Q]^{-\frac{1}{2}}F_{2k}(Z^{N\subseteq M}_{\bar{\mathcal{E}}^{2k}}(1))\\
&\quad = [M:Q]^{-\frac{1}{2}}\\
&\quad = \sqrt{[Q:N]}e^Q_{2k-1} \text{ [by Theorem 2.5]}
\end{aligned}
$$



This justifies the fact(ii).

Fact 3.7. $Z'_{(E')^n_n}(x) = \sqrt{[Q:N]}E_{Q'\cap Q_{n-1}}(x)$ *for all* x *belongs to* $N'\cap Q_{n-1}$ *and* $k \geq 1$. *Where corresponding trace of* $E^{N'\cap Q_{n-1}}_{Q'\cap Q_{n-1}}$ *is* $tr_{N\subseteq Q}$.

Justification: The tangles $(E')^n_n$ are as in figure 20 according as n is odd or even respectively.
Consider the case when n is odd. Now, for all $y \in M'\cap M_{n-1}$,



FIGURE 20. Left Conditional Expectation

$tr([M:Q]F(E^{N'\cap M_{n-1}}_{M'\cap M_{n-1}}(x)F(y)))$ is equal to

$$\delta^{-n}\frac{[M:Q]}{\sqrt{[M:N]}}$$



$$= \delta^{-n}\frac{[M:Q]}{\sqrt{[M:N]}}$$



[*by Theorem* 2.2 (*a*)]

$= \delta^{-n} \frac{[M:Q]}{\sqrt{[M:N]}}$



[*since* $x \in F_n(P_n)$ and by exchange relation]

$= \delta^{-n} \frac{[M:Q]}{\sqrt{[M:N]}}$



[by extremality]

$= \delta^{-n}$



[by Theorem 2.2 (c) and extremality]

$= \delta^{-n}$



[as x $\in$ $F_n(P_n)$]

$= tr(xF(y)).$

Thus it follows that

$$E^{F(N'\cap M_{n-1})}_{F(M'\cap M_{n-1})}(x) = [M : Q]F(E^{N'\cap M_{n-1}}_{M'\cap M_{n-1}}(x)). \tag{3}$$

Now,

$$\begin{aligned} & Z'_{(E')^n_n}(x) \\ & \quad = \alpha((E')^n_n)F(Z_{(E')^n_n}(x)) \quad [by\ definition\ ] \\ & \quad = [M:Q]^{\frac{1}{2}}[M:N]^{\frac{1}{2}}F(E^{N'\cap M_{n-1}}_{M'\cap M_{n-1}}(x)) \quad [by\ definition\ of\ \alpha] \\ & \quad = [Q:N]^{\frac{1}{2}}E^{F(N'\cap M_{n-1})}_{F(M'\cap M_{n-1})}(x) \quad [\text{by Equation (3)}] \end{aligned}$$

This completes the proof for odd case. Even case is exactly similar, so we omit it.

Fact 3.8. *$Z'_{E^n_{n+1}}(x) = \sqrt{[Q:N]}E_{N'\cap Q_{n-1}}(x)$ for all x belongs to $N'\cap Q_n$ and this is required to hold for all k in Col, where for $k = 0_{+}^{-}$, the equation is interpreted as $Z'_{E_1^{0_+}}(x) = \sqrt{[Q:N]}tr_{N\subseteq Q}(x)$ for all x belongs to $N'\cap Q$. Here again the trace corresponding to the conditional expectation is given by $tr_{N\subseteq Q}$.*



FIGURE 21. Conditional Expectation

Justification: Consider the conditional expectation tangle as in Figure 21. For Case I we give a diagramatic proof and for Case II we give analytic proof.

$\mathcal{C}ase I(E^{2n}_{2n+1})$: Now, for all $y \in F(N'\cap M_{2n-1})$, $tr(F(E^{N'\cap M_{2n}}_{N'\cap M_{2n-1}}(x))F(y))$ is equal to



$= \delta^{-2n}$



[by Theorem 2.2(a)]

$= \delta^{-2n}$



[since, $y \in P_{2n} \subseteq P_{2n-1}$]

$= tr(xF(y))$

Thus,

$$E^{F(N' \cap M_{2n})}_{F(N' \cap M_{2n-1})}(x) = F(E^{N' \cap M_{2n}}_{N' \cap M_{2n-1}}(x)). \tag{4}$$

Then the following equations hold:

$$\begin{aligned}
&Z'_{E^{2n}_{2n+1}}(x)\\
&\quad= \alpha(E^{2n}_{2n+1})F(Z_{E^{2n}_{2n+1}}(x)) \ \text{ [by definition]}\\
&\quad= [M:Q]^{-\frac{1}{2}}F(Z_{E^{2n}_{2n+1}}(x)) \ \text{ [by definition of } \alpha]\\
&\quad= [M:Q]^{-\frac{1}{2}}[M:N]^{\frac{1}{2}}F(E^{N'\cap M_{2n}}_{N'\cap M_{2n-1}}(x))\\
&\quad= [Q:N]^{\frac{1}{2}}E^{F(N'\cap M_{2n})}_{F(N'\cap M_{2n-1})}(x) \ \text{ [by Equation (4)]}
\end{aligned}$$

Thus, we proved $Z'_{(E')^n_n}(x) = \sqrt{[Q:N]}E_{Q'\cap Q_{n-1}}(x)$.

$\mathcal{C}aseII(E^{2n-1}_{2n})$: By definition, $\alpha(E^{2n-1}_{2n}) = \sqrt{[M:Q]}$. Then, for $x \in P'_{2n} = F_{2n}(P_{2n})$,

$$Z'_{E^{2n-1}_{2n}}(x) = \sqrt{[M:Q]}F(Z^{N\subseteq M}_{E^{2n-1}_{2n}}(x)) = \sqrt{[M:Q]}\sqrt{[M:N]}F(E^{N'\cap M_{2n-1}}_{N'\cap M_{2n-2}}(x)) \tag{5}$$

Then we claim,

$$E^{F(N'\cap M_{2n-1})}_{F(N'\cap M_{2n-2})}(x) = [M:Q]F(E^{N'\cap M_{2n-1}}_{N'\cap M_{2n-2}}(x))$$

Justification: Firstly observe (see [BhaLa]),

$$F_{2n}(P_{2n}) = p_{[0,2n-1]}(N'\cap M_{2n-1})p_{[0,2n-1]}.$$

Put, $x = p_{[0,2n-1]}m_{2n-1}p_{[0,2n-1]}$ for $m_{2n-1} \in N'\cap M_{2n-1}$. Then the following self-explanatory array of equations hold for any $m_{2n-2} \in N'\cap M_{2n-2}$(using Fact 2.1 repeatedly):

$$\begin{aligned}
&tr_{N\subseteq Q}([M:Q]p_{[0,2n-1]}E^{N'\cap M_{2n-1}}_{N'\cap M_{2n-2}}(p_{[0,2n-1]}m_{2n-1}p_{[0,2n-1]})p_{[0,2n-1]}p_{[0,2n-1]}m_{2n-2}p_{[0,2n-1]})\\
&\quad= [M:Q]^{n+1}tr(p_{[0,2n-1]}E^{N'\cap M_{2n-1}}_{N'\cap M_{2n-2}}(p_{[0,2n-1]}m_{2n-1}p_{[0,2n-1]})p_{[0,2n-1]}m_{2n-2}p_{[0,2n-1]})\\
&\quad= [M:Q]^{n+1}tr(E^{N'\cap M_{2n-1}}_{N'\cap M_{2n-2}}(p_{[0,2n-1]}m_{2n-1}p_{[0,2n-1]})p_{[0,2n-1]}m_{2n-2}p_{[0,2n-1]})\\
&\quad= [M:Q]^{n+1}tr(E^{N'\cap M_{2n-1}}_{N'\cap M_{2n-2}}(p_{[0,2n-1]}m_{2n-1}p_{[0,2n-1]})p_{[0,2n-3]}E^{N'\cap M_{2n-2}}_{N'\cap P_{2n-2}}(m_{2n-2})p_{[0,2n-3]}e_{0,2n-1})\\
&\quad= [M:Q]^{n+1}tr(E^{N'\cap M_{2n-1}}_{N'\cap M_{2n-2}}(p_{[0,2n-1]}m_{2n-1}p_{[0,2n-1]}E^{N'\cap M_{2n-2}}_{N'\cap P_{2n-2}}(m_{2n-2})p_{[0,2n-3]})e_{0,2n-1})\\
&\quad= [M:Q]^{n}tr(p_{[0,2n-1]}m_{2n-1}p_{[0,2n-1]}E^{N'\cap M_{2n-2}}_{N'\cap P_{2n-2}}(m_{2n-2})p_{[0,2n-3]}) \ \text{ [Markov Property]}\\
&\quad= [M:Q]^{n}tr(p_{[0,2n-1]}m_{2n-1}p_{[0,2n-1]}p_{[0,2n-1]}m_{2n-2}p_{[0,2n-1]})\\
&\quad= tr_{N\subseteq Q}(p_{[0,2n-1]}m_{2n-1}p_{[0,2n-1]}p_{[0,2n-1]}m_{2n-2}p_{[0,2n-1]})
\end{aligned}$$

Thus from definition of trace preserving conditional expectation we conclude that the claim is justified. Hence from Equation (5) it follows that,

$$Z'_{E^{2n-1}_{2n}}(x) = \frac{\sqrt{[M:Q]}\sqrt{[M:N]}}{[M:Q]}E^{F(N'\cap M_{2n-1})}_{F(N'\cap M_{2n-2})}(x) = \sqrt{[Q:N]}E^{P'_{2n}}_{P'_{2n-1}}(x)$$

This is what we wanted to show. □

Thus the proof of Theorem 3.3 is complete.

4. Examples

4.1. **Dual Intermediate Planar algebra.** We now consider the other intermediate subfactor $Q \subset M$. We can describe its planar algebra using Theorem 3.3 and the fact that it is the dual subfactor to $M \subset Q_1$. Namely, apply Theorem 3.3 to the planar algebra $(P_{1,n}(L))_n$ of $M \subset M_1$, with respect to the projection $[M:Q]^{1/2}[Q:N]^{-1/2}$ 

. We obtain the planar algebra $(P_n^{(M\subset Q_1)})_n$. The planar algebra of $Q \subset M$ is its dual, $(P_{1,n}^{(M\subset Q_1)})_n$. If we carry out this process, we obtain the following planar algebra:

Definition 4.1. Denote the following tangle by E'_n:



for n odd,



for n even.

where $= \sqrt{\frac{[M:Q]}{[Q:N]}}$

according as n is odd or even respectively. We shall use these to define a map $T \mapsto G(T)$ from the class of k-tangles to the class of *partially labelled* k-tangles with $(k+1)$ internal discs all but the last of which are 2-boxes labelled with a r, with the the tangle T inserted in the last disc of colour k. Thus, $G(T) = E'_k \circ_{(D_1, D_2, \cdots, D_k, D_{k+1})} (r, r, \cdots, r, T)$.

If it is clear from the context then we write E' instead of E'_n.

Define functions $G_n : P_n \mapsto P_n$ by $G_n(x) = Z_{E'_n}(r \otimes r \otimes \cdots \otimes r \otimes x)$ for $x \in P_n$. We often write $G(x)$ instead of $G_n(x)$ if there is no confusion.

Definition 4.2. Let T be a k-tangle with $b \geq 1$ internal discs $D_1, \ldots D_b$ of colours $k_1, \ldots k_b$. Then define $\widetilde{\alpha}(T) = [Q:N]^{\frac{1}{2}\widetilde{c}(T)}$, where

$$\widetilde{c}(T) = (\lceil k_0/2 \rceil + \lfloor k_1/2 \rfloor + \cdots + \lfloor k_b/2 \rfloor) - \widetilde{l}(T)$$

with $\widetilde{l}(T)$ being the number of closed loops after capping the white intervals of the external disc of T and cupping the white intervals of all internal discs of T.

It is straightforward to verify the following corollary:

Corollary 4.3. *If $P''_k = ran(G(I^k_k))$ and $Z''_T = \widetilde{\alpha}(T) Z_{G(T)|_{\otimes P''_{k_i(T)}}}$, then $(P'', T \mapsto Z''_T|_{\otimes P''_{k_i(T)}})$ is a subfactor planar algebra which is isomorphic to $P^{(Q \subset M)}$.*

4.2. **Crossed Product Example.** Landau described the planar algebra $P(G)$ of the group subfactor (corresponding to the fixed-points of an outer action of a finite group G on a II_1 factor) which has a presenatation with generators given by $L_2 = G$ and $L_k = \phi$ for $k \neq 2$, and the relation that a simple closed loop of either colour be the scalar $\sqrt{|G|}$ and the additional six relations labelled $00, 0, 1, 2, 3, 4$ as in [La1]. Denote by e, the identity of G.

We have another group Θ and an action $\alpha : \Theta \mapsto Aut(G)$ as in [LaSu]. Without loss of generality we can assume α is $1-1$. Denote by f, the identity of Θ. The map that replaces the label of each 2-box with the label's image under $\theta \in \Theta$ defines an automorphism of $P(G)$ (we will denote this also by θ). Then the set P^Θ of invariants for this action is a sub-planar algebra of P, and the set of Θ-invariant k-boxes of $P(G)$ constituts precisely the set of k-boxes of P^Θ.

Notation 4.4. We follow the same notation as in [LaSu] [Remark 3.3.1.(b)] to denote an orthonormal basis of $P(G)_k$ (with respect to the inner product given by the natural trace): define $S(\bar{g})$ (where $\bar{g} \in G^{k-1}$) to be the labelled k-tangle ($k > 2$) given by the following two Figures 22 and 23 for k odd and even respectively.



FIGURE 22. k Odd



FIGURE 23. k Even

Also,

$S(g) =$ 

We use Latin alphabets to denote the elements of G, whereas we use Greek symbols to write the elements of Θ. As usual we write the elements of $G \rtimes \Theta$ as ordered pairs (g, θ) with the ususal multiplication $(g_1, \theta_1)(g_2, \theta_2) = (g_1\theta_1(g_2), \theta_1\theta_2)$. Also for each integer $k \geq 1$ and $\theta \in \Theta$, we simply write $\theta(g_1, \cdots, g_k)$ to denote the map $\alpha_\theta^{(k)} \in Aut(G^k)$ defined by $\alpha_\theta^{(k)}(g_1, g_2, \cdots, g_k) = (\alpha_\theta(g_1), \alpha_\theta(g_2), \cdots, \alpha_\theta(g_k))$. Lastly by $\bar{\delta}_n$ we denote the n-tuple $(\delta_1, \cdots, \delta_n)$. If from the context it is obvious what is n,we simply write $\bar{\delta}$. For convenience we denote by $\bar{\delta}_{[k,n]}$(respectively, $\bar{\delta}_{(k,n]}$) the tuple $(\delta_k, \cdots, \delta_n)$(respectively, $(\delta_{k+1}, \cdots, \delta_n)$).

We prove the following theorem ([LaSu]):

Theorem 4.5. *Let G, Θ be as above, and let $G \rtimes \Theta$ denote the semi-direct product,and let $N = R^{G\rtimes\Theta} \subset R^\Theta = M$ denote the corresponding subgroup-subfactor. Then,*

$$P^\Theta \simeq P^{(N\subset M)}.$$

We prove this theorem in various steps.

Fact 4.6. *For $k \geq 3$, using exchange relation repeatedly and other relations labelled $0, 1, 2$ as stated in* [La1] *we get,*

$$S(g_1, g_2, \cdots, g_{k-1})S(h_1, h_2, \cdots, h_{k-1})$$
$$= (\sqrt{|G|})^{(\lceil k/2\rceil - 1)}\Big(\prod_{i=2}^{\lceil k/2\rceil} \delta(h_1 g_{k+1-i}, h_i)\Big)S(h_1 g_1, h_1 g_2, \cdots, h_1 g_{\lceil k/2\rceil}, h_{\lceil k/2\rceil+1}, \cdots, h_{k-1})$$

Then for $k = 2$ simply observe, $S(g_1)S(g_2) = S(g_1g_2)$.

Fact 4.7. *Define $\Theta S(\bar{g}) = \sum_{\theta\in\Theta} S(\theta(\bar{g}))$ for $\bar{g} \in G^{k-1}$. Then as stated in* [LaSu] *$\{\Theta S(\bar{g}) : [\bar{g}] \in G^{k-1}/\Theta\}$ is an orthogonal basis for P_k^{Θ}. A simple calculation shows the following:*

$$\begin{aligned}&\Theta S(g_1, g_2, \cdots, g_{k-1})\Theta S(h_1, h_2, \cdots, h_{k-1})\\ &= (\sqrt{|G|})^{(\lceil k/2\rceil-1)} \sum_{\theta''\in\Theta} \Big(\prod_{i=2}^{\lceil k/2\rceil} \delta(h_1\theta''(g_{k+1-i}), h_i)\Big) \times\\ &\qquad \Theta S(h_1\theta''(g_1), h_1\theta''(g_2), \cdots, h_1\theta''(g_{\lceil k/2\rceil}), h_{\lceil k/2\rceil+1}, h_{\lceil k/2\rceil+2}, \cdots, h_{k-1})\end{aligned}$$

For $k = 2$ the above is being interpreted as

$$\Theta S(g)\Theta S(h) = \sum_{\theta''\in\Theta} \Theta S(g\theta''(h)).$$

Remark 4.8. Note that there is a slight correction in constant in Fact 4.6 and 4.7 as compared to [LaSu] Remark 3.3.1 (f) and (g) respectively.

Fact 4.9. *Let q be the biprojection corresponding to the intermediate subfactor R^{Θ} such that $R^{G\rtimes\Theta} \subset R^{\Theta} \subset R$. In other words,*



Then using exchange relation we easily get the following result as mentioned in [LaSu]*:*

$$\begin{aligned}&F_n(S((g_1,\theta_1),(g_2,\theta_2),\cdots,(g_{n-1},\theta_{n-1})))\\ &= \frac{1}{|\Theta|^n} \sum_{\substack{\theta\in\Theta\\ \bar{\gamma}\in\Theta^{n-1}}} S((\theta(g_1),\gamma_1),(\theta(g_2),\gamma_2),\cdots,(\theta(g_{n-1}),\gamma_{n-1}))\end{aligned}$$

Remark 4.10. Observe that the formula in Fact 4.9 depends only on the orbit of $(g_1, g_2, \cdots, g_{n-1})$ under Θ. Following [LaSu] we put,

$$U(g_1, g_2, \cdots, g_{k-1}) = \sum_{\substack{\theta\in\Theta\\ \bar{\gamma}\in\Theta^{k-1}}} S((\theta(g_1),\gamma_1),(\theta(g_2),\gamma_2),\cdots,(\theta(g_{k-1}),\gamma_{k-1})).$$

Then it is simple to verify that $\{U(\bar{g}) : [\bar{g}] \in G^{k-1}/\Theta\}$ is an orthogonal basis for $F_k(P^{(R^{G\rtimes\Theta}\subset R)})$.

Lemma 4.11.

$$\begin{aligned}&U(g_1, g_2, \cdots, g_{k-1})U(h_1, h_2, \cdots, h_{k-1})\\ &= (\sqrt{|G|})^{(\lceil k/2\rceil-1)}(\sqrt{|\Theta|})^{(\lceil k/2\rceil-1)}(|\Theta|)^{\lfloor k/2\rfloor} \sum_{\theta''\in\Theta} \Big(\prod_{i=2}^{\lceil k/2\rceil} \delta(h_1\theta''(g_{k+1-i}), h_i)\Big) \times\\ &\qquad U(h_1\theta''(g_1), h_1\theta''(g_2), \cdots, h_1\theta''(g_{\lceil k/2\rceil}), h_{\lceil k/2\rceil+1}, h_{\lceil k/2\rceil+2}, \cdots, h_{k-1})\end{aligned}$$

For $k = 2$ the above is being interpreted as

$$U(g)U(h) = |\Theta| \sum_{\theta''\in\Theta} \Theta S(g\theta''(h)).$$

Proof.

$$
\begin{aligned}
&U(g_1,g_2,\cdots,g_{k-1})U(h_1,h_2,\cdots,h_{k-1})\\
&\quad=\Big(\sum_{\substack{\theta\in\Theta\\ \bar\gamma\in\Theta^{k-1}}} S((\theta(g_1),\gamma_1),(\theta(g_2),\gamma_2),\cdots,(\theta(g_{k-1}),\gamma_{k-1}))\Big)\times\\
&\qquad\Big(\sum_{\substack{\phi\in\Theta\\ \bar\sigma\in\Theta^{k-1}}} S((\phi(h_1),\sigma_1),(\phi(h_2),\sigma_2),\cdots,(\phi(h_{k-1}),\sigma_{k-1}))\Big)\\
&\quad=(\sqrt{G})^{(\lceil k/2\rceil-1)}(\sqrt{|\Theta|})^{(\lceil k/2\rceil-1)}\sum_{\theta,\phi,\bar\gamma,\bar\sigma}\Big(\prod_{i=2}^{\lceil k/2\rceil}\delta((\phi(h_1)\sigma_1\theta(g_{k+1-i}),\sigma_1\gamma_{k+1-i}),(\phi(h_i),\sigma_i))\Big)\times\\
&\qquad S((\phi(h_1)\sigma_1\theta(g_1),\sigma_1\gamma_1),(\phi(h_1)\sigma_1\theta(g_2),\sigma_1\gamma_2),\cdots,(\phi(h_1)\sigma_1\theta(g_{\lceil k/2\rceil}),\sigma_1\gamma_{\lceil k/2\rceil}),\\
&\qquad(\phi(h_{\lceil k/2\rceil+1}),\sigma_{\lceil k/2\rceil+1}),\cdots,(\phi(h_{k-1}),\sigma_{k-1}))) \text{ [Using Fact 4.6]}\\
&\quad=(\sqrt{G})^{(\lceil k/2\rceil-1)}(\sqrt{|\Theta|})^{(\lceil k/2\rceil-1)}\sum_{\phi,\theta}\sum_{\substack{\sigma_1\in\Theta\\ \bar\delta\in\Theta^{k-1}\\ \bar\gamma_{(\lceil k/2\rceil,k-1]}}}\Big(\prod_{i=2}^{\lceil k/2\rceil}\delta(\phi(h_1\phi^{-1}\sigma_1\theta(g_{k+1-i})),\phi(h_i))\Big)\times\\
&\qquad S((\phi(h_1\phi^{-1}\sigma_1\theta(g_1)),\delta_1),(\phi(h_1\phi^{-1}\sigma_1\theta(g_2)),\delta_2),\cdots,(\phi(h_1\phi^{-1}\sigma_1\theta(g_{\lceil k/2\rceil})),\delta_{\lceil k/2\rceil}),\\
&\qquad(\phi(h_{\lceil k/2\rceil+1}),\delta_{\lceil k/2\rceil+1}),\cdots,(\phi(h_{k-1}),\delta_{k-1})))\\
&\quad=(\sqrt{G})^{(\lceil k/2\rceil-1)}(\sqrt{|\Theta|})^{(\lceil k/2\rceil-1)}(|\Theta|)^{(\lfloor k/2\rfloor-1)}|\Theta|\sum_{\phi,\bar\delta}\sum_{\theta''\in\Theta}\Big(\prod_{i=2}^{\lceil k/2\rceil}\delta(h_1\theta''(g_{k+1-i}),h_i)\Big)\times\\
&\qquad S((\phi(h_1\theta''(g_1)),\delta_1),(\phi(h_1\theta''(g_2)),\delta_2),\cdots,(\phi(h_1\theta''(g_{\lceil k/2\rceil})),\delta_{\lceil k/2\rceil}),\\
&\qquad(\phi(h_{\lceil k/2\rceil+1}),\delta_{\lceil k/2\rceil+1}),\cdots,(\phi(h_{k-1}),\delta_{k-1}))) \text{ [Putting } \phi^{-1}\sigma_1\theta=\theta''\text{]}
\end{aligned}
$$

This completes the proof. □

Definition 4.12. Define linear maps $\Phi_k : P_k^\Theta \longmapsto F_k(P_k(G\ltimes\Theta))$ by,

$$\Phi_k(\Theta(S(\bar g))) = |\Theta|^{-\lfloor k/2\rfloor}(\sqrt{|\Theta|})^{(1-\lceil k/2\rceil)}U(\bar g),$$

which also equals to

$$(\alpha(S))^{1-k-\lfloor k/2\rfloor}U(\bar g).$$

Here S is the tangle as in Notation 4.4, but unlabelled. Here $[\bar g]\in G^{k-1}/\Theta$.

To prove Theorem 4.5 we need to check that the following equation holds:

$$\Phi_{k_0}(Z_T(x_1\otimes\cdots\otimes x_b)) = Z'_T(\Phi_{k_1}(x_1)\otimes\cdots\otimes\Phi_{k_b}(x_b))\} \tag{6}$$

for any tangle $T(=T^{k_0}_{k_1,\cdots,k_b})$.

In view of [KodSun][Theorem 3.3], it suffices to prove

Theorem 4.13. *The collection $\mathscr{T}$ of those tangles T which satisfy Equation (6) contains a class of 'generating tangles' namely $\mathscr{T}\supset\{1^{0_+},1^{0_-}\}\cup\{\mathcal{E}^k : k\geq 2\}\cup\{(E')^k_k : k\geq 1\}\cup\{E^k_{k+1}, M_k, I^{k+1}_k : k\in Col\}$*

We prove in detail that $\mathscr{T}$ contains the multiplication tangles and the right conditional expectation tangles. In other cases we just sketch the proofs.

Lemma 4.14. $M_k\in\mathscr{T}$.

Proof. Firstly note,

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\Phi_k(Z_{M_k}(\Theta S(g_1,g_2,\cdots,g_{k-1})\otimes\Theta S(h_1,h_2,\cdots,h_{k-1})))\\
&\qquad=\Phi_k(\Theta S(g_1,g_2,\cdots,g_{k-1})\Theta S(h_1,h_2,\cdots,h_{k-1}))
\end{aligned}
$$

$$= (\sqrt{|G|})^{(\lceil k/2\rceil -1)} \sum_{\theta''\in\Theta} (\prod_{i=2}^{\lceil k/2\rceil} \delta(h_1\theta''(g_{k+1-i}), h_i))\times$$
$$\Phi_k(\Theta S(h_1\theta''(g_1), h_1\theta''(g_2), \cdots, h_1\theta''(g_{\lceil k/2\rceil}), h_{\lceil k/2\rceil+1}, h_{\lceil k/2\rceil+2}, \cdots, h_{k-1}))$$
[Using Fact 4.7]

$$= (\sqrt{|\Theta|})^{1-\lceil k/2\rceil} (|\Theta|)^{-\lfloor k/2\rfloor} (\sqrt{|G|})^{(\lceil k/2\rceil -1)} \sum_{\theta''} (\prod_{i=2}^{\lceil k/2\rceil} \delta(h_1\theta''(g_{k+1-i}), h_i))\times$$
$$U(h_1\theta''(g_1), h_1\theta''(g_2), \cdots, h_1\theta''(g_{\lceil k/2\rceil}), h_{\lceil k/2\rceil+1}, h_{\lceil k/2\rceil+2}, \cdots, h_{k-1})$$
[Definition 4.12]

On the other hand, since $\alpha(M_k) = 1$ the following equations hold:

$$Z'_{M_k}(\Phi_k(\Theta S(g_1, g_2, \cdots, g_{k-1})) \otimes \Phi_k(\Theta S(h_1, h_2, \cdots, h_{k-1})))$$
$$= \alpha(M_k) F_k(Z_{M_k}((|\Theta|)^{-2\lfloor k/2\rfloor} (\sqrt{|\Theta|})^{2(1-\lceil k/2\rceil)} U(g_1, g_2, \cdots, g_{k-1}) \otimes U(h_1, h_2, \cdots, h_{k-1})))$$
[Definition 4.12]

$$= (\sqrt{|G|})^{(\lceil k/2\rceil -1)} (\sqrt{|\Theta|})^{(\lceil k/2\rceil -1)} (|\Theta|)^{\lfloor k/2\rfloor} (|\Theta|)^{-2\lfloor k/2\rfloor} (\sqrt{|\Theta|})^{2(1-\lceil k/2\rceil)} \sum_{\theta''\in\Theta} (\prod_{i=2}^{\lceil k/2\rceil} \delta(h_1\theta''(g_{k+1-i}), h_i))$$
$$U(h_1\theta''(g_1), h_1\theta''(g_2), \cdots, h_1\theta''(g_{\lceil k/2\rceil}), h_{\lceil k/2\rceil+1}, h_{\lceil k/2\rceil+2}, \cdots, h_{k-1}$$
[by Lemma 4.11]

$$= (\sqrt{|G|})^{(\lceil k/2\rceil -1)} (|\Theta|)^{-\lfloor k/2\rfloor} (\sqrt{|\Theta|})^{1-\lceil k/2\rceil)} \sum_{\theta''} (\prod_{i=2}^{\lceil k/2\rceil} \delta(h_1\theta''(g_{k+1-i}), h_i))$$
$$U(h_1\theta''(g_1), h_1\theta''(g_2), \cdots, h_1\theta''(g_{\lceil k/2\rceil}), h_{\lceil k/2\rceil+1}, h_{\lceil k/2\rceil+2}, \cdots, h_{k-1})$$

This completes the proof. □

Lemma 4.15. $E^k_{k+1} \in \mathscr{T}$.

Proof. **Case I**: $k = 2n$. Put $T = E^k_{k+1}$.

For $n = 1$, use relation 1 to get

$$Z_T(S(g_1, g_2)) = S({g_1}^{-1}).$$

If $n \geq 2$, we again using relation 1 get the following result easily:

$$Z_T(S(g_1, g_2, \cdots, g_k)) = S(g_1, g_2, \cdots, g_{(k/2)}, g_{(\frac{k}{2}+2)}, \cdots, g_k) \tag{7}$$

Also observe, $\alpha(T) = |\Theta|^{-1/2}$.

We show for $n \geq 2, T \in \mathscr{T}$($n = 1$ is exactly similar).

$$\Phi_k(Z_T(\Theta S(g_1, g_2, \cdots, g_k)))$$
$$= \Phi_k(Z_T(\sum_{\theta\in\Theta} S(\theta(g_1), \theta(g_2), \cdots, \theta(g_k))))$$
$$= \Phi_k(\sum_{\theta} Z_T(S(\theta(g_1), \theta(g_2), \cdots, \theta(g_k))))$$
$$= \Phi_k(\sum_{\theta} S(\theta(g_1), \theta(g_2), \cdots, \theta(g_{k/2}), \theta(g_{(\frac{k}{2}+2)}), \cdots, \theta(g_k))) \text{ [by Equation 7]}$$
$$= \Phi_k(\Theta S(g_1, g_2, \cdots, g_{(k/2)}, g_{(\frac{k}{2}+2)}, \cdots, g_k))$$
$$= (\sqrt{|\Theta|})^{(1-\lceil k/2\rceil)} (|\Theta|)^{-\lfloor k/2\rfloor} U(g_1, g_2, \cdots, g_{(k/2)}, g_{(\frac{k}{2}+2)}, \cdots, g_k).$$

On the other hand,

$$Z'_T(\Phi_{k+1}(\Theta S(g_1, g_2, \cdots, g_k)))$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
&= \alpha(T)F_k(Z_T((\sqrt{|\Theta|})^{(1-\lceil\frac{k+1}{2}\rceil)}(|\Theta|)^{-\lfloor\frac{k+1}{2}\rfloor}U(g_1,g_2,\cdots,g_k)))\\
&= (|\Theta|)^{-1/2}(\sqrt{|\Theta|})^{(1-\lceil\frac{k+1}{2}\rceil)}(|\Theta|)^{-\lfloor\frac{k+1}{2}\rfloor}F_k(Z_T(\sum_{\substack{\theta\in\Theta\\ \bar\gamma\in\Theta^k}}(\theta(g_1),\gamma_1),(\theta(g_2),\gamma_2),\cdots,(\theta(g_k),\gamma_k))))\\
&= (|\Theta|)^{-1/2}(\sqrt{|\Theta|})^{(1-\lceil\frac{k+1}{2}\rceil)}(|\Theta|)^{-\lfloor\frac{k+1}{2}\rfloor}F_k(\sum_{\theta,\bar\gamma}(\theta(g_1),\gamma_1),(\theta(g_2),\gamma_2),\cdots\\
&\qquad\qquad \cdots,(\theta(g_{(k/2)}),\gamma_{(k/2)}),(\theta(g_{(\frac{k}{2}+2)}),\gamma_{(\frac{k}{2}+2)}),\cdots,(\theta(g_k),\gamma_k)))\\
&= (|\Theta|)^{-1/2}(\sqrt{|\Theta|})^{(1-\lceil\frac{k+1}{2}\rceil)}(|\Theta|)^{-\lfloor\frac{k+1}{2}\rfloor}|\Theta|F_k(U(g_1,g_2,\cdots,g_{(k/2)},g_{(\frac{k}{2}+2)},\cdots,g_k)).\\
&= (\sqrt{|\Theta|})^{(2-\lceil\frac{k+1}{2}\rceil)}(|\Theta|)^{-\lfloor\frac{k+1}{2}\rfloor}U(g_1,g_2,\cdots,g_{(k/2)},g_{(\frac{k}{2}+2)},\cdots,g_k)
\end{aligned}
$$

Simple algebraic calculation tells us, $\lceil(k+1)/2\rceil = \lceil(k/2)\rceil + 1$, and $\lfloor(k+1)/2\rfloor = \lfloor k/2\rfloor$. Thus we have proved,

$$\Phi_k(Z_T(\Theta S(g_1,g_2,\cdots,g_k))) = Z'_T(\Phi_{k+1}(\Theta S(g_1,g_2,\cdots,g_k)))$$

In other words, $E^k_{k+1} \in \mathscr{T}$.

Case II: $k = 2n-1$. Put $T = E^k_{k+1}$.

The case $n = 1$ is trivial.

For $n \geq 2$ using relation 2 as in [LaSu] and exchange relation we easily get:

$$Z_T(S(g_1,g_2,\cdots,g_k)) = \sqrt{|G|}\delta(g_{(\lceil k/2\rceil)},g_{(\lceil k/2\rceil+1)})S(g_1,g_2,\cdots,g_{(\lceil k/2\rceil)},g_{(\lceil k/2\rceil+2)},\cdots,g_k). \tag{8}$$

Then the following equations are easy to check:

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\Phi_k(Z_T(\Theta S(g_1,g_2,\cdots,g_k)))\\
&\quad= \Phi_k(Z_T(\sum_{\theta\in\Theta}S(\theta(g_1),\theta(g_2),\cdots,\theta(g_k))))\\
&\quad= \Phi_k(\sum_{\theta}\sqrt{|G|}\ \delta(g_{(\lceil k/2\rceil)},g_{(\lceil k/2\rceil+1)})S(\theta(g_1),\theta(g_2),\cdots,\theta(g_{(\lceil k/2\rceil)}),\theta(g_{(\lceil k/2\rceil+2)}),\cdots,\theta(g_k))\\
&\qquad\qquad\qquad

Lemma 4.16. $I_k^{k+1} \in \mathscr{T}$.

Proof. Put $T = I_k^{k+1}$. Clearly, $\alpha(T) = 1$.

Put

$$h = \frac{1}{\sqrt{|G|}} \sum_{g \in G}$$



Clearly $\theta(h) = h$ for all $\theta \in \Theta$.

It suffices to check that:

$$Z_T(S(g_1, g_2, \cdots, g_{k-1})) = S(g_1, g_2, \cdots, g_{(k/2)}, h, g_{(k/2+1)}, \cdots, g_{k-1}).$$

for $k = 2n$.

and

$$Z_T(S(g_1, g_2, \cdots, g_{k-1})) = S(g_1, g_2, \cdots, g_{(\lceil k/2 \rceil)}, g_{(\lceil k/2 \rceil)}, g_{(\lceil k/2 \rceil+1)}, \cdots, g_{k-1}).$$

for $k = 2n - 1$.

The proof of the above two equations is routine, and omitted. □

Lemma 4.17. $(E')_k^k \in \mathscr{T}$.

Proof. We omit the details. Put $T = (E')_k^k$. In this case $\alpha(T) = (|\Theta|)^{1/2}$. Then simply observe:

$$Z_T(S(g_1, g_2, \cdots, g_{k-1})) = \sqrt{|G|}\delta(g_1, e)S(e, g_2, \cdots, g_{k-1}).$$

□

This complets the proof of Theorem 4.13.

Lastly we apply Theorem 3.3 to conclude that the proof of Theorem 4.5 is now complete.

5. Appendix

As promised in the introduction, we here describe the tower of iterated basic construction of $N \subseteq Q$ in terms of the the corresponding tower of $N \subseteq M$. Firstly we state the following lemma which we will use to prove this.

Lemma 5.1. [Bak] *Let $N \subseteq M$ be an inclusion of Type II_1 factors. Assume $\{\lambda_i : i \in 1, 2, ..n\}$ is a basis for M/N (in the sense used in [JoSu]). Let P be a II_1 factor such that P contains M and also contains a projection f such that $\sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i^* f \lambda_i = 1$ and satisfies further the following two properties :*

1)$fxf = E_N(x)f$ for all $x \in M$ and

2)$\{\tau^{-1/2} f\lambda_i\}$ is a basis for P/M.

Then there exists an isomorphism from $M_1 = \langle M, e_1 \rangle$ onto P which maps e_1 to f.

The following well known fact is often useful:

Fact 5.2. *Given a II_1 factor A and projections $r \in A$ and $s \in (rAr)'$, we have*

$$tr_{rArs}(rzrs) = (tr_A(r))^{-1} tr_A(rzr) \tag{9}$$

for all $z \in A$.

Theorem 5.3. *Given $N \subset Q \subset M$ and the notation introduced above, set $p = e_{0,1}\ e_{0,3}\ e_{0,5} \cdots e_{0,2n-1}$. Then the chain*

$$Np \subset pMp \subset pM_1p \subset pM_2p \subset \ldots \subset pM_{2n-1}p$$

is isomorphic to the first $2n - 1$ steps of the basic construction of $N \subset Q$. The Jones projections are given by $e_{0,2i}p : L^2(pM_{2i-1}p) \to L^2(pM_{2i-2}p)$ and $e_{1,2i+1}p : L^2(pM_{2i}p) \to L^2(pM_{2i-1}p)$. The unique normalized trace on the chain, denoted $tr_{N \subset Q}$, is given by $tr_{N \subset Q}(x) = [M : Q]^n tr_{N \subset M}(x)$.

Proof. We put, $p_{[0,2n-1]} = e_{0,1}\ e_{0,3}\ e_{0,5}\cdots e_{0,2n-1}$. The final trace assertion is immediate from the fact $tr_{N\subset M}(p_{[0,2n-1]}) = [M:Q]^{-n}$. It suffices to show that the above chain is a basic construction and that the inclusion $Np_{[0,2n-1]} \subset p_{[0,2n-1]}Mp_{[0,2n-1]}$ is isomorphic to $N \subset Q$. We do this in several steps.

Step 1 : Firstly we show, $Ne_{0,1} \subseteq e_{0,1}Me_{0,1} \subseteq e_{0,1}M_1e_{0,1}$ is isomorphic to the (first step) basic construction of $N \subseteq Q$, where the corresponding Jones' projection is given by $e_{1,1}e_{0,1}(= e_{1,1})$. We prove this using Lemma 5.1.

Since Q' is a von Neumann algebra and $e_{0,1} \in Q', Qe_{0,1}$ is a von Neumann algebra. Again, as $e_{0,1} \in N', Ne_{0,1}$ is also a von Neumann algebra. Since $e_{0,1}Me_{0,1} = Qe_{0,1}$ it is clear that $(Ne_{0,1} \subseteq e_{0,1}Me_{0,1}) \cong (N \subseteq Q)$ via the map $q \longmapsto qe_{0,1}$ for $q \in Q$. In particular, $[Qe_{0,1} : Ne_{0,1}] = [Q:N]$. Let $\{\lambda_i\}$ be a basis for Q/N, which always exists by [PiPo], then since $E^{Qe_{0,1}}_{Ne_{0,1}}(qe_{0,1}) = E^Q_N(q)e_{0,1}, \{\lambda_i e_{0,1}\}$ is a basis for $Qe_{0,1}/Ne_{0,1}$. Now, $e_{1,1} \in e_{0,1}M_1e_{0,1}$ since $e_{1,1} \leq e_{0,1}$ and observe,

$$\begin{aligned}
&\sum (\lambda_i e_{0,1})^* e_{1,1}\lambda_i e_{0,1} \\
&\quad = \sum \lambda_i{}^* e_{0,1}e_{1,1}e_{0,1}\lambda_i \quad [\text{since } e_{0,1} \in Q'] \\
&\quad = \sum \lambda_i{}^* e_{1,1}\lambda_i \quad [\text{since } e_{1,1} = e_{1,1}e_{0,1} = e_{0,1}e_{1,1}] \\
&\quad = \sum \lambda_i{}^* e^Q_N e_{0,1}\lambda_i \quad [\text{since } e^Q_N e_{0,1} = e_{1,1}] \\
&\quad = \sum (\lambda_i{}^* e^Q_N \lambda_i)e_{0,1} \\
&\quad = e_{0,1} \quad [\text{since } \sum \lambda_i{}^* e^Q_N \lambda_i = 1].
\end{aligned}$$

Also,

$$e_{1,1}(e_{0,1}me_{0,1})e_{1,1} = e_{1,1}me_{1,1} = E^M_N(m)e_{1,1} \tag{10}$$

and,

$$E^{Qe_{0,1}}_{Ne_{0,1}}(e_{0,1}me_{0,1})e_{1,1} = E^{Qe_{0,1}}_{Ne_{0,1}}(E^M_Q(m)e_{0,1})e_{1,1} = E^Q_N(E^M_Q(m))e_{0,1}e_{1,1} = E^M_N(m)e_{1,1} \tag{11}$$

for all $m \in M$. Equation (10) and (11) implies $e_{1,1}(e_{0,1}me_{0,1})e_{1,1} = E^{Qe_{0,1}}_{Ne_{0,1}}(e_{0,1}me_{0,1})e_{1,1}$. We next show,

$$E^{e_{0,1}M_1e_{0,1}}_{Qe_{0,1}}(x) = [M:Q]E^{M_1}_Q(x)e_{0,1}. \tag{12}$$

for all $x \in e_{0,1}M_1e_{0,1}$. This follows from the following array of equations $\forall q \in Q$:

$$\begin{aligned}
&tr_{N\subseteq Q}([M:Q]E^{M_1}_Q(x)e_{0,1}.qe_{0,1}) \\
&\quad = [M:Q]^2 tr(E^{M_1}_Q(x)qe_{0,1}) \\
&\quad = [M:Q]^2 tr(E^{M_1}_Q(xq)e_{0,1}) \\
&\quad = [M:Q]tr(E^{M_1}_Q(xq)) \ [\text{since } tr(e_{0,1}) = [M:Q]^{-1}] \\
&\quad = [M:Q]tr(xq) \\
&\quad = tr_{N\subseteq Q}(e_{0,1}xq) \ [\text{since } e_{0,1}x = x] \\
&\quad = tr_{N\subseteq Q}(x.qe_{0,1}).
\end{aligned}$$

Then we show, $\{\sqrt{[Q:N]}e_{1,1}\lambda_i e_{0,1}\}$ is a basis for $e_{0,1}M_1e_{0,1}/e_{0,1}Me_{0,1}$. To prove this firstly note that,by Jones's local index formula (see [Jo1] or sections 2.2-2.3 of [JoSu]) and extremality (see [Po] page 176) the following equation holds

$$[e_{0,1}M_1e_{0,1} : Qe_{0,1}] = tr(e_{0,1})^2[M_1:Q] = [Q:N] = [Qe_{0,1} : Ne_{0,1}] \tag{13}$$

Then,the following array of equations hold:

$$\begin{aligned}
&E^{e_{0,1}M_1e_{0,1}}_{Qe_{0,1}}[(\sqrt{[Q:N]}e_{1,1}\lambda_i e_{0,1})(\sqrt{[Q:N]}e_{1,1}\lambda_j e_{0,1})^*] \\
&\quad = [Q:N]E^{e_{0,1}M_1e_{0,1}}_{Qe_{0,1}}(e_{1,1}e_{0,1}\lambda_i\lambda_j^* e_{1,1}) \quad [\text{since } e_{0,1} \in Q']
\end{aligned}$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
&= [Q:N]E_{Qe_{0,1}}^{e_{0,1}M_1e_{0,1}}(e_{0,1}e_{1,1}\lambda_i\lambda_j^*e_{1,1}e_{0,1}) \ \text{ [since } e_{1,1}=e_{1,1}e_{0,1}=e_{0,1}e_{1,1}\text{]}\\
&= [Q:N][M:Q]E_Q^{M_1}(e_{0,1}e_{1,1}\lambda_i\lambda_j^*e_{1,1}e_{0,1})e_{0,1} \ \text{ [by Equation (12)]}\\
&= [M:N]E_Q^{M_1}(e_{1,1}\lambda_i\lambda_j^*e_{1,1})e_{0,1}\\
&= [M:N]E_Q^{M_1}[E_N^M(\lambda_i\lambda_j^*)e_{1,1}]e_{0,1}\\
&= [M:N]E_N^Q(\lambda_i\lambda_j^*)E_Q^{M_1}(e_{1,1})e_{0,1}\\
&= [M:N]E_N^Q(\lambda_i\lambda_j^*)E_Q^M(E_M^{M_1}(e_{1,1}))e_{0,1}\\
&= E_N^Q(\lambda_i\lambda_j^*)e_{0,1}\\
&= E_{Ne_{0,1}}^{Qe_{0,1}}(\lambda_i\lambda_j^*e_{0,1})\\
&= E_{Ne_{0,1}}^{Qe_{0,1}}(\lambda_ie_{0,1}.e_{0,1}\lambda_j^*) \ \text{ [since } e_{0,1}\in Q'\text{]}
\end{aligned}
$$

Now as $\{\lambda_ie_{0,1}\}$ is a basis for $Qe_{0,1}/Ne_{0,1}$ the last equation in the above array of equations together with Equation (13) tells that $\{\sqrt{[Q:N]}e_{1,1}\lambda_ie_{0,1}\}$ is a basis for $e_{0,1}M_1e_{0,1}/e_{0,1}Me_{0,1}$. Here we have used Theorem 2.2 in [Bak]. Now applying Lemma 5.1 we get the desired result.

Step 2 : Here again we have $N\subseteq Q\subseteq M$, with biprojection $e_{0,1}$. We claim $(p_{[0,3]}Mp_{[0,3]}\subseteq p_{[0,3]}M_1p_{[0,3]}\subseteq p_{[0,3]}M_2p_{[0,3]})\cong(Q\subseteq Q_1\subseteq Q_2)$. Here Jones' projection is given by $e_{0,2}p_{[0,3]}$. We will again apply Lemma 5.1.

Firstly, as $e_{0,3}$ commutes with $e_{0,1}$ and every element of M_1, it follows from above discussion that

(a)$\{\sqrt{[Q:N]}e_{1,1}\lambda_ip_{[0,3]}\}$ is a basis for $p_{[0,3]}M_1p_{[0,3]}/p_{[0,3]}Mp_{[0,3]}$.

Next we show that

(b) for $m_1\in M_1$,

$$(e_{0,2}p_{[0,3]})(p_{[0,3]}m_1p_{[0,3]})(e_{0,2}p_{[0,3]})=E_{p_{[0,3]}Mp_{[0,3]}}^{p_{[0,3]}M_1p_{[0,3]}}(p_{[0,3]}m_1p_{[0,3]})e_{0,2}p_{[0,3]}. \tag{14}$$

As $e_{0,3}$ commutes with $e_{0,1}$ and every element of M_1, it follows that,

$$
\begin{aligned}
&E_{p_{[0,3]}Mp_{[0,3]}}^{p_{[0,3]}M_1p_{[0,3]}}(p_{[0,3]}m_1p_{[0,3]})\\
&\quad= E_{Qe_{0,1}e_{0,3}}^{e_{0,1}M_1e_{0,1}e_{0,3}}(e_{0,1}m_1e_{0,1}e_{0,3})\\
&\quad= E_{Qe_{0,1}}^{e_{0,1}M_1e_{0,1}}(e_{0,1}m_1e_{0,1})e_{0,3} \ \text{ [by Fact 5.2]}\\
&\quad= [M:Q]E_Q^{M_1}(e_{0,1}m_1e_{0,1})p_{[0,3]} \ \text{ [by Equation (12)]}
\end{aligned}
$$

Since $e_{0,1}\in P_1$ it is easy to see that, $E_{P_1}^{M_1}(e_{0,1}m_1e_{0,1})=E_{P_1}^{M_1}(e_{0,1}m_1e_{0,1})e_{0,1}=me_{0,1}$ for some unique $m\in M$, which exists by [PiPo].

That implies,

$$
\begin{aligned}
&E_M^{M_1}(E_{P_1}^{M_1}(e_{0,1}m_1e_{0,1}))\\
&\qquad= mE_M^{M_1}(e_{0,1})\\
&\qquad= mE_M^{P_1}(e_{0,1})\\
&\qquad= m[M:Q]^{-1}
\end{aligned}
$$

That is, $E_M^{M_1}(e_{0,1}m_1e_{0,1})=m[M:Q]^{-1}$. Therefore $m=[M:Q]E_M^{M_1}(e_{0,1}m_1e_{0,1})$. Thus,

$$E_{P_1}^{M_1}(e_{0,1}m_1e_{0,1})=[M:Q]E_M^{M_1}(e_{0,1}m_1e_{0,1})e_{0,1} \tag{15}$$

Thus,

$$
\begin{aligned}
&(e_{0,2}p_{[0,3]})(p_{[0,3]}m_1p_{[0,3]})(e_{0,2}p_{[0,3]})\\
&\quad= e_{0,2}p_{[0,3]}m_1p_{[0,3]}e_{0,2}p_{[0,3]}\\
&\quad= e_{0,3}e_{0,2}e_{0,1}m_1e_{0,1}e_{0,2}e_{0,3} \ \text{ [by Fact 2.1(3)]}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
&= e_{0,1}E_{P_1}^{M_1}(e_{0,1}m_1e_{0,1})e_{0,2}e_{0,3} \quad \text{[by definition of } e_{0,2}\text{]}\\
&= [M:Q]e_{0,1}E_M^{M_1}(e_{0,1}m_1e_{0,1})e_{0,1}e_{0,2}e_{0,3} \quad \text{[by Equation (15)]}\\
&= [M:Q]E_Q^M(E_M^{M_1}(e_{0,1}m_1e_{0,1}))e_{0,1}e_{0,2}e_{0,3}\\
&= [M:Q]E_Q^{M_1}(e_{0,1}m_1e_{0,1})p_{[0,3]}e_{0,2}p_{[0,3]} \quad \text{[by Fact 2.1(3)]}\\
&= E_{p_{[0,3]}Mp_{[0,3]}}^{p_{[0,3]}M_1p_{[0,3]}}(p_{[0,3]}m_1p_{[0,3]})e_{0,2}p_{[0,3]}
\end{aligned}
$$

This completes the proof of (b).
(c) We need to prove $[p_{[0,3]}M_2p_{[0,3]} : p_{[0,3]}M_1p_{[0,3]}] = [Q:N]$
For this note,

$$
\begin{aligned}
&p_{[0,3]}M_2p_{[0,3]}\\
&\quad = e_{0,1}e_{0,3}M_2e_{0,3}e_{0,1}\\
&\quad = e_{0,1}P_2e_{0,3}e_{0,1} \quad \text{[by definition of } e_{0,3}\text{]}\\
&\quad = p_{[0,3]}P_2p_{[0,3]} \quad \text{[since } e_{0,3} \in P_2'\text{]}
\end{aligned}
$$

It is trivial to see that, $[P_2 : M_1] = [M_1 : P_1] = \frac{[M_1:M]}{[P_1:M]} = \frac{[M:N]}{[M:Q]} = [Q:N]$. Thus,

$$
\begin{aligned}
[Q:N] &= [P_2 : M_1]\\
&= [e_{0,1}P_2e_{0,1} : e_{0,1}M_1e_{0,1}] \quad [\ as\ e_{0,1} \in M_1 \subseteq P_2]\\
&= [p_{[0,3]}P_2p_{[0,3]} : p_{[0,3]}M_1p_{[0,3]}]\\
&= [p_{[0,3]}M_2p_{[0,3]} : p_{[0,3]}M_1p_{[0,3]}]
\end{aligned}
$$

This proves (c).
(d) The following array of equations hold:

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\sum (e_{1,1}\lambda_ip_{[0,3]})^*e_{0,2}p_{[0,3]}(e_{1,1}\lambda_ip_{[0,3]})\\
&\quad = \sum p_{[0,3]}\lambda_i^*e_{1,1}e_{0,2}e_{1,1}\lambda_ip_{[0,3]}\\
&\quad = [Q:N]^{-1}\sum p_{[0,3]}\lambda_i^*e_{1,1}\lambda_ip_{[0,3]} \quad \text{[Fact 2.1(6)]}\\
&\quad = [Q:N]^{-1}\sum p_{[0,3]}\lambda_i^*e_N^Qe_{0,1}\lambda_ip_{[0,3]}\\
&\quad = [Q:N]^{-1}p_{[0,3]}
\end{aligned}
$$

The last equation follows from the fact that $\{\lambda_i\}$ is a basis for Q/N and hence $\sum \lambda_i^*e_N^Q\lambda_i = 1$.
(e) Firstly it is easy to check that,

$$E_{M_1}^{P_2}(e_{0,2}) = [M_1 : P_1]^{-1} = [Q:N]^{-1}. \tag{16}$$

Next we show that,

$$E_{P_1}^{M_1}(e_{1,1}) = [Q:N]^{-1}e_{0,1} \tag{17}$$

To see this, note that by [PiPo] there exists unique $m_0 \in M$ such that $E_{P_1}^{M_1}(e_{1,1}) = E_{P_1}^{M_1}(e_{1,1})e_{0,1} = m_0e_{0,1}$. Thus, $E_M^{M_1}(e_{1,1}) = m_0E_M^{M_1}(e_{0,1})$. Hence, $m_0 = [Q:N]^{-1}$. We have,

$$
\begin{aligned}
&[p_{[0,3]}M_2p_{[0,3]} : p_{[0,3]}M_1p_{[0,3]}]\\
&\quad = [Q:N] \quad [by\ (c)]\\
&\quad = [Qp_{[0,1]} : Np_{[0,1]}]\\
&\quad = [p_{[0,1]}M_1p_{[0,1]} : p_{[0,1]}Mp_{[0,1]}] \quad [by\ Equation\ (13)]\\
&\quad = [p_{[0,3]}M_1p_{[0,3]} : p_{[0,3]}Mp_{[0,3]}]
\end{aligned}
$$

By Fact 5.2 it is trivial to check that for all $m_2 \in M_2$:

$$E_{p_{[0,3]}M_1p_{[0,3]}}^{p_{[0,3]}M_2p_{[0,3]}}(p_{[0,3]}m_2p_{[0,3]}) = p_{[0,3]}E_{M_1}^{M_2}(m_2)p_{[0,3]} \tag{18}$$

Then the following array of equation hold:

$$\begin{aligned}
&E^{p_{[0,3]}M_2p_{[0,3]}}_{p_{[0,3]}M_1p_{[0,3]}}[(e_{0,2}e_{1,1}\lambda_i p_{[0,3]})(e_{0,2}e_{1,1}\lambda_j p_{[0,3]})^*] \\
&\quad = E^{p_{[0,3]}M_2p_{[0,3]}}_{p_{[0,3]}M_1p_{[0,3]}}(p_{[0,3]}e_{0,2}e_{1,1}\lambda_i\lambda_j^* e_{1,1}e_{0,2}p_{[0,3]}) \\
&\quad = p_{[0,3]}E^{M_2}_{M_1}(e_{0,2}e_{1,1}\lambda_i\lambda_j^* e_{1,1}e_{0,2})p_{[0,3]} \quad \text{[by Equation (18)]} \\
&\quad = p_{[0,3]}E^{M_2}_{M_1}(E^{M_1}_{P_1}(e_{1,1}\lambda_i\lambda_j^* e_{1,1})e_{0,2})p_{[0,3]} \\
&\quad = p_{[0,3]}E^{M_1}_{P_1}(e_{1,1}\lambda_i\lambda_j^* e_{1,1})E^{M_2}_{M_1}(e_{0,2})p_{[0,3]} \\
&\quad = p_{[0,3]}E^{Q}_{N}(\lambda_i\lambda_j^*)E^{M_1}_{P_1}(e_{1,1})E^{P_2}_{M_1}(e_{0,2})p_{[0,3]} \\
&\quad = p_{[0,3]}E^{Q}_{N}(\lambda_i\lambda_j^*)[Q:N]^{-1}E^{M_1}_{P_1}(e_{1,1})p_{[0,3]} \quad \text{[by Equation (16)]} \\
&\quad = p_{[0,3]}E^{Q}_{N}(\lambda_i\lambda_j^*)[Q:N]^{-1}[Q:N]^{-1}e_{0,1}p_{[0,3]} \quad \text{[by Equation (17)]} \\
&\quad = [Q:N]^{-2}p_{[0,3]}E^{Q}_{N}(\lambda_i\lambda_j^*)p_{[0,3]} \\
&\quad = [Q:N]^{-2}E^{Qp_{[0,3]}}_{Np_{[0,3]}}(\lambda_i p_{[0,3]}p_{[0,3]}\lambda_j^*)
\end{aligned}$$

Since, $\{\lambda_i p_{[0,3]}\}$ is a basis for $Qp_{[0,3]}/Np_{[0,3]}$ it follows from Theorem 2.2 in [Bak] that $\{[Q:N]e_{0,2}p_{[0,3]}e_{1,1}\lambda_i p_{0,3}\}$ that is, $\{[Q:N]e_{0,2}e_{1,1}\lambda_i p_{[0,3]}\}$ is a basis for $p_{[0,3]}M_2p_{[0,3]}/p_{[0,3]}M_1p_{[0,3]}$.
Thus combining (a),(b),(c),(d) and (e) and applying Lemma 5.1 we complete the proof of Step 2.

Step 3 :
In general apply Step 1 to the following subfactors for $2n-1 \geq i \geq 3$ and i odd:
$p_{[0,2n-i]}M_{2n-i}p_{[0,2n-i]} \subseteq p_{[0,2n-i]}P_{2n-i+1}p_{[0,2n-i]} \subseteq p_{[0,2n-i]}M_{2n-i+1}p_{[0,2n-i]}$.
Here biprojection is given by $p_{[0,2n-i]}e_{0,2n-i+2}$. We get $p_{[0,2n-i+2]}M_{2n-i}p_{[0,2n-i+2]} \subseteq p_{[0,2n-i+2]}$ $M_{2n-i+1}p_{[0,2n-i+2]} \subseteq p_{[0,2n-i+2]}M_{2n-i+2}p_{[0,2n-i+2]}$ is a Jones' tower with corresponding Jones' projection is given by $e_{1,2n-i+2}p_{[0,2n-i+2]}$. But $e_{0,2n-1}e_{0,2n-3}\cdots e_{0,2n-i+4} \in M'_{2n-i}, M'_{2n-i+1}$ and M'_{2n-i+2}. So, using Fact 2.1 (3) we have

$$p_{[0,2n-1]}M_{2n-i}p_{[0,2n-1]} \subseteq p_{[0,2n-1]}M_{2n-i+1}p_{[0,2n-1]} \subseteq p_{[0,2n-1]}M_{2n-i+2}p_{[0,2n-i+2]}$$

is a Jones' tower with the Jones' projection $e_{1,2n-i+2}p_{[0,2n-1]}$.

Apply Step 2 to the following subfactors for $2n-1 \geq j \geq 3$ and j odd:
$p_{[0,2n-j]}M_{2n-j-2}p_{[0,2n-j]} \subseteq p_{[0,2n-j]}P_{2n-j-1}p_{[0,2n-j]} \subseteq p_{[0,2n-j]}M_{2n-j-1}p_{[0,2n-j]}$.
Here biprojection is given by $p_{[0,2n-j]}e_{0,2n-j}$. Then we get $p_{[0,2n-j+2]}M_{2n-j-1}p_{[0,2n-j+2]} \subseteq p_{[0,2n-j+2]}$ $M_{2n-j}p_{[0,2n-j+2]} \subseteq p_{[0,2n-j+2]}M_{2n-j+1}p_{[0,2n-j+2]}$ is a Jones' tower with the corresponding Jones' projection is given by $e_{0,2n-j+1}p_{[0,2n-j+2]}$. Again note that $e_{0,2n-1}e_{0,2n-3}\cdots e_{0,2n-j+4} \in M'_{2n-j-1}, M'_{2n-j}$ and M'_{2n-j+1}. Thus it follows from Fact 2.1 (3) that

$$p_{[0,2n-1]}M_{2n-j-1}p_{[0,2n-1]} \subseteq p_{[0,2n-1]}M_{2n-j}p_{[0,2n-1]} \subseteq p_{[0,2n-1]}M_{2n-j+1}p_{[0,2n-1]}$$

is a Jones's tower with the corresponding Jones' projection is given by $e_{0,2n-j+1}p_{[0,2n-1]}$.

Lastly note that, from Step 1 and Fact 2.1 it follows that

$$Np_{[0,2n-1]} \subseteq p_{[0,2n-1]}Mp_{[0,2n-1]} \subseteq p_{[0,2n-1]}M_1p_{[0,2n-1]}$$

is a Jones' tower with the corresponding Jones' projection $e_{1,1}p_{[0,2n-1]}$.
Combining all the facts mentioned above we prove the result as stated in the theorem. □

Acknowledgement

The author sincerely thanks V.S. Sunder and Vijay Kodiyalam for innumerable enlightening discussions and fruitful advice. He also wishes to thank Sohan Lal Saini and Sebastein Palcoux for various useful discussions.

References

[Bak] Bakshi,Keshab Chandra, On Pimsner Popa bases.*Proceedings of the Indian Academy of Sciences-Mathematical Sciences.* To appear, and `http://arxiv.org/abs/1504.00103v2`.

[BhaLa] B. Bhattacharyya and Z. Landau, Intermediate Standard Invariants and intermediate Planar Algebras, Preprint.

[Bi1] D. Bisch, A note on intermediate subfactors, *Pacific Journal of Mathematics*, vol 163 (1994) no. 2, 201–216.

[Bi2] D. Bisch, On the structure of finite depth subfactors, *Algebraic Methods in Operator Theory*, Birkhhauser Boston, Boston,MA, 1994, 175-194.

[BiJo1] D. Bisch and V.F.R. Jones, Algebras associated to intermediate subfactors, *Inventiones Mathematicae*, vol 128, No. 1, 1997.

[BiJo2] D. Bisch and V.F.R. Jones, Singly generated planar algebras of small dimension, *Duke Math. Journal* 101 (2000), no. 1, 41-75.

[Jo1] V.F.R. Jones, Index for subfactors, *Invent. Math.*, vol 72, p1-25, 1983.

[Jo2] V.F.R. Jones, Planar algebras I, *New Zealand Journal of Mathematics*, to appear (see http://www.math.berkeley.edu/ vfr).

[JoSu] V.F.R. Jones and V.S. Sunder, Introduction to Subfactors, *London Mathematical Society Lecture Note Series* No 234, 1997.

[La1] Z. Landau, Exchange relation planar algebras, *Geometriae Dedicata*, 95.1 (2002): 183-214.

[La2] Z. Landau, Intermediate subfactors, PhD Thesis, UC Berkeley, 1998.

[LaSu] Z. Landau and V. S. Sunder, Planar Depth and Planar Subalgebras, *Journal of Functional Analysis*, 195, 7188 (2002).

[PiPo] M. Pimsner and S. Popa, Entropy and index for subfactors, *Annales Scientifiques de L'Ecole Normale Superieure* 4 serie, t. 19, 1986.

[Po] S. Popa, Classification of amenable subfactors of type II. Acta Mathematica, 172(2), 163-255.

[KodSun] Kodiyalam, Vijay, and V. S. Sunder, On Jones' Planar algebra. *Journal of Knot Theory and its Ramifications.* 13.02 (2004): 219-247.